- 1 {York Stenographic Services, Inc.}
- 2 RPTS BROWN
- 3 HIF323.020
- 4 ``SECURITY OF HEALTHCARE.GOV''
- 5 TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 2013
- 6 House of Representatives,
- 7 Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations
- 8 Committee on Energy and Commerce
- 9 Washington, D.C.

- The Subcommittee met, pursuant to call, at 10:15 a.m.,
- 11 in Room 2123 of the Rayburn House Office Building, Hon. Tim
- 12 Murphy [Chairman of the Subcommittee] presiding.
- 13 Members present: Representatives Murphy, Burgess,
- 14 Blackburn, Scalise, Harper, Olson, Gardner, Griffith,
- 15 Johnson, Long, Ellmers, Barton, Upton (ex officio), DeGette,
- 16 Braley, Lujan, Schakowsky, Butterfield, Welch, Tonko,
- 17 Yarmuth, Dingell and Waxman (ex officio).
- 18 Staff present: Carl Anderson, Counsel, Oversight; Mike

- 19 Bloomquist, General Counsel; Sean Bonyun, Communications
- 20 Director; Karen Christian, Chief Counsel, Oversight; Noelle
- 21 Clemente, Press Secretary; Brad Grantz, Policy Coordinator,
- 22 Oversight and Investigations; Brittany Havens, Legislative
- 23 Clerk; Sean Hayes, Counsel, Oversight and Investigations;
- 24 Brandon Mooney, Professional Staff Member; Andrew Powaleny,
- 25 Deputy Press Secretary; Tom Wilbur, Digital Media Advisor;
- 26 Jessica Wilkerson, Staff Assistant; Stacia Cardille,
- 27 Democratic Deputy Chief Counsel; Brian Cohen, Democratic
- 28 Staff Director, Oversight and Investigations, Senior Policy
- 29 Advisor; Hannah Green, Democratic Staff Assistant; Elizabeth
- 30 Letter, Democratic Press Secretary; Karen Lightfoot,
- 31 Democratic Communications Director and Senior Policy Advisor;
- 32 Karen Nelson, Democratic Deputy Committee Staff Director for
- 33 Health; Stephen Salsbury, Democratic Special Assistant; and
- 34 Matt Siegler, Democratic Counsel.

35 Mr. {Murphy.} Good morning. I convene this hearing of the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations to discuss 36 37 the security of the Healthcare.gov Web site. 38 Americans want to know the answers to two simple 39 questions; is my information secure if I use Healthcare.gov, 40 and what I--why should I believe the Administration that it 41 is. 42 It has been nearly 50 days since the launch of 43 Healthcare.gov, and the Web site is still not functioning at 44 an acceptable level. This is despite the numerous promises 45 and assurances the public was given by members of the 46 Administration leading up to and over the several months up 47 to the launch of the Web site. 48 This committee heard directly from Secretary Sebelius, 49 Administrator Tavenner, and CCIIO Director, Gary Cohen, that 50 they were ready by October 1. We are all deeply troubled 51 that the individuals who want to be in charge of America's 52 healthcare system could not even predict accurately if the 53 Web site would work. And those predictions were not just 54 limited to the Web site. We have also been routinely 55 promised that the Web site was safe, and that Americans' 56 personal information would be secure.

57 When Administrator Tavenner last appeared before this 58 committee, she informed us that testing began in October of 59 last year, that end-to-end testing would be completed by the 60 end of August this year. We have now learned that this 61 simply was not the case. End-to-end testing is not possible 62 when the Web site isn't completed. 63 Today we hope to hear from our witness about how much of 64 the Web site remains to be built. If the first parts of 65 Healthcare.gov have been this problematic, we are obviously 66 concerned about parts that are being constructed under current pressures and time constraints. 67 68 The witnesses for -- the witness for our first panel today 69 is Mr. Henry Chao, the Deputy Chief Information Officer at 70 the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, and we want 71 to thank you for coming and testifying today. I can only 72 imagine how stressful the last few months have been for you, 73 so welcome here. Yet, I hope you can appreciate the fact 74 that HHS has a way to go to regain the trust of the American people in this Web site. They were promised a functioning 75 76 Web site as easy as buying a TV on Amazon, and what they got 77 was a train wreck. 78 The reason the trust of the American people may be so

difficult to regain is because every day, new revelations

80 emerge that show this wreck was entirely foreseeable. Last 81 week, this subcommittee uncovered emails from CMS showing 82 that as early as July of this year, Mr. Chao, our first 83 witness, was worried that the company primarily responsible for building the Web site, CGI, would ``crash at takeoff.'' 84 85 Today this subcommittee also released materials showing that as early as March to April of this year, top 86 87 Administration officials were well aware that Healthcare.gov 88 was far off schedule, and testing of the Web site would be 89 limited. We have also learned that Healthcare.gov was only 90 launched after Administrator Tavenner signed an authority to 91 operate, which included a memo warning her that a full 92 security control assessment was not yet completed. This memo 93 makes it clear that the highest levels of CMS knew that there 94 were security risks present, yet again, while this document 95 was being signed in private, Administration officials were 96 promising public that in only a few days, the American people 97 would be able to use a perfectly-functioning Web site. 98 A few weeks ago, Secretary Sebelius told this committee 99 that the highest security standards are in place, and people 100 have every right to expect privacy. I hope that today we 101 hear what those standards are from--not only from Mr. Chao 102 and also from our second panel as well.

```
103
          Our second panel features some of the contractors that
104
     are responsible for the security of Healthcare.gov, and I
105
     thank them for testifying today. I am disappointed that one
106
    of the companies responsible for security, Verizon, chose not
107
     to testify today. We will certainly be following up with
108
    Verizon so that they are accountable to the public for their
109
    work here.
110
          Today's hearing is not just about the Web site. Web
     sites can be fixed. What cannot be fixed is the damage that
111
112
     could be done to the American people if their personal data
113
     is compromised. Right now, Healthcare.gov screams to those
114
    who are trying to break into the system, if you like my
    healthcare info, maybe you can steal it.
115
116
          [The prepared statement of Mr. Murphy follows:]
```

******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********

118 Mr. {Murphy.} But I now recognize for an opening 119 statement, Ms. DeGette of Colorado, for 5 minutes. 120 Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you very much, Chairman Murphy. I 121 want to add your thanks to Mr. Chao for being here today, as 122 well as the three contractor witnesses; MITRE, CCSi and 123 Foreground. 124 We must make sure that the healthcare--that the data on 125 Healthcare.gov is secure. Everybody can agree on that. 126 American people must know that their data is protected when 127 they go on the site to find a quality, affordable insurance plan for themselves or their families. This is critical. 128 129 However, my fear is that today's hearing is actually less 130 about the facts of the security of Healthcare.gov, and more 131 about political points and undermining the ACA. 132 Now, without a doubt, no one could disagree there are 133 troubling problems with the rollout of the Exchanges. Three 134 weeks ago, our full committee held the first hearing on the inexcusable fact that Healthcare.gov seems to have been 135 136 broken since it was very first launched. And three weeks later, while improving, it is clearly not up to speed. As I 137 138 have said before, the Exchanges need to be fixed, and they 139 need to be fixed fast so that the American people can easily

140 access quality, affordable insurance plans open to them. Ι 141 hope we will have another hearing after the November 30 142 deadline to see how they are working. 143 My fear about this hearing today though is that it won't 144 enlighten the American public, but instead raise unjustified fears about security piling on all of the other issues. Now, 145 146 obviously, as I said, we need to make sure that the data on Healthcare.gov is secure, but we should not create smoke if 147 there is no fire. 148 149 So before we begin, I want to give the American people 150 some peace of mind based on the facts that we know about 151 security on Healthcare.gov. 152 First, and critically, no American has to provide any 153 personal health information to Healthcare.gov or to insurers 154 in order to qualify for health coverage and subsidies. 155 make sure about this, I went on the Exchange myself the other day, and that is because the ACA bans discrimination based on 156 157 pre-existing health conditions. Before the ACA became law, 158 Americans buying coverage on the individual insurance market 159 had to fill out page after page of personal health 160 information to apply for insurance. But no longer, thanks to 161 the Affordable Care Act. Americans do not have to turn over

any private health insurance to get coverage.

163 Second, while no Web site in the government or in the 164 private sector is 100 percent secure, unfortunately, there is 165 a complex and detailed set of rules that HHS must follow to 166 make sure that data on Healthcare.gov is secure. And I am 167 looking forward to hearing from you, Mr. Chao, about these 168 security issues today. 169 The Agency has a long record of maintaining personal 170 information about Medicare, Medicaid, Social Security and 171 many areas, and has never had a significant leak of 172 information. HHS must comply with the Federal Information 173 Security Management Act, and National Institute of Standards and Technology Guidelines to protect information systems and 174 the data collected or maintained by Healthcare.gov. And like 175 176 all federal agencies, HHS is required to develop, document 177 and implement an agency-wide information security program. 178 Today, our committee's investigation has found that CMS 179 has complied with every important security rule and 180 quideline. They hired a small army of contractors to make sure the Web site is secure, and they are going to talk to us 181 182 about it today. 183 The memo, Mr. Chairman, that you talked about at our last hearing, that identified some security concerns, 184 185 primarily a lack of end-to-end testing on Healthcare.gov, but

186 it also outlined a mitigation plan, one we learned was -- that 187 the Agency was following to mitigate security risks. So I want to hear from the contractors and from you, Mr. Chao, if, 188 189 in fact, these findings are being heeded. 190 Now, unfortunately, Mr. Chairman, I have to raise one 191 more issue in my remaining minute, and that is this 192 committee's grand tradition of bipartisanship investigation. 193 Apparently, the committee, last Thursday, received a memo 194 from CMS, Red Team discussion document. The majority on this 195 committee did not share this memo with the minority on this 196 committee until yesterday, coincidentally, just after they 197 leaked this memo to The Washington Post. Now--and if you saw 198 The Washington Post front page today, you saw a big story, 199 and, Mr. Chairman, you were quoted in that story, talking 200 about concerns about the readiness of the Exchange based on 201 this memo. 202 I know that is not the topic of this hearing today, but I have got to say it is not in the tradition of the committee 203 204 to conduct investigations that way. And when the majority 205 received this memo, it should have immediately provided it to all of the members so that we could read it and find out. We 206 207 are all just as concerned about making these Exchanges work. 208 And to that end, Mr. Waxman and I have written a letter

209 expressing our displeasure, and we would like to enter that
210 into the record at this time, Mr. Chairman.
211 [The information follows:]

******** COMMITTEE INSERT *********

```
215
          Mr. {Murphy.} That is fine, and I will look forward to
     talking with you more about these procedures. I know that
216
217
     these came as part of hundreds of -- a couple of hundred
218
     thousand pages of documents we are going through, but we
     should--well, I will be glad to review that with you because
219
     I certainly respect my colleague on this--
220
221
          Ms. {DeGette.} That we were able to find it in time to
     give it to The Washington Post in time for today's hearing,
222
223
     and to be quoted --
224
          Mr. {Murphy.} We will--
225
          Ms. {DeGette.} --in The Washington Post.
226
          Mr. {Murphy.} We will have a good discussion on that.
227
     I thank my colleague whose time has expired.
228
          I now recognize the Chairman of the Full Committee, Mr.
229
     Upton, for 5 minutes.
          The {Chairman.} Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
230
231
          You know, for months, Administration witnesses have come
232
     before this committee and assured us that the implementation
233
     of the President's healthcare law was on track, their words,
234
     and that Healthcare.gov would be ready for the October 1
235
     launch. But why not give the straight story to the Congress
236
     and the public, because back on April 18, Secretary Sebelius
```

testified in this very room, we have the federal hub on track 237 238 and on time. I can tell you we are on track. Those are her 239 words. But we now know that the Secretary's testimony did 240 not match what was happening behind the scenes. 241 Two weeks before she testified before this committee, 242 Secretary Sebelius was present at an April 4 meeting where 243 experts identified significant threats and risks launching 244 the site on October 1. The Administration was on track, on track for disaster, but stubbornly they stayed the course, 245 246 repeating their claims that this -- all is well and on track, 247 right up until the mess that launched on October 1. And even after the launch, Administration officials insisted that the 248 249 volume was primarily the culprit, when they, in fact, knew 250 otherwise. 251 But our oversight of the health law is not just about a 252 Web site. No, it is not. It is about whether the public can 253 trust and rely on this healthcare system that the Administration has been building for over three years, and 254 spending hundreds of millions of dollars. The failure of 255 256 this Web site has significant consequences for all Americans. One important question is whether individuals will be able to 257 258 enroll and obtain coverage by January 1. Security is another 259 critical concern. How can the public trust a hastily-thrown-

260 together system in which meeting a deadline was more 261 important for the Administration than conducting complete end-to-end testing of the site's security. 262 263 Mr. Henry Chao, Deputy Chief Information Officer of CMS, is here to answer those questions, about CMS's management of 264 265 the Federal Exchange and the implications for security. And, Mr. Chao, I do understand that you are a career employee, and 266 267 have been at CMS for years, and I know, as Chairman Murphy 268 indicated, the last few months have not been particularly 269 easy. Last March, you were one of the first to publicly 270 offer a glimpse of the true situation when you candidly remarked about the Web site and said, let us just make sure 271 it is not a third world experience. Documents produced to 272 273 the committee paint a clear picture that the Administration 274 officials, in fact, knew for months before the October 1 275 about -- date about delays and problems with the Web site 276 development. Mr. Chao, you have been responsible for 277 managing the development of the Healthcare.gov, but I can imagine many matters were outside of your control. And given 278 the lack of end-to-end testing, I hope that you can explain 279 280 to us today why the Administration felt confident in the 281 security of Healthcare.gov when the system went live on 282 October 1.

283	We are also joined by three companies that were awarded
284	contracts by CMS to provide security services for the Federal
285	Exchange. These companies are here also today to answer
286	questions about their roles. I know the subjects of security
287	presents certain sensitivities, and I am glad that they made
288	the decision to accept our invitations to testify and inform
289	us about how Healthcare.gov works or doesn't.
290	One thing that we have learned; there are countless
291	contractors involved in building this Web site, and
292	responsibilities are divided. Very divided. It is a complex
293	system, I know, but we would like to know how the delays and
294	rushed implementation have affected or complicated the
295	ability to perform the security work for the Web site.
296	And I yield the balance of my time to Dr. Burgess.
297	[The prepared statement of Mr. Upton follows:]
298	*********

Dr. {Burgess.} I thank The Chairman for the 299 recognition, and I do want to thank our witnesses for being 300 301 here today. 302 Pretty broad agreement, the implementation of the 303 Affordable Care Act has been problematic, and rather than 304 getting better, it may be getting worse. We have low 305 enrollment numbers, a Web site so bad that it has required the appointment of a glitch tsar, cancelled plan, broken 306 307 promises from the President, just for starters. These 308 initial problems break the surface of the deeper issues that lie ahead for not just the law, but for the American people 309 that must live under the law. 310 311 And, Mr. Chao, you probably, prior to anyone else, 312 sounded the alarm with that speech to AHIP, and I know you 313 are tired of hearing it, but I will tell you once again, your comments that you were just trying to prevent the Web site 314 from becoming a third world experience, I admire your ability 315 316 to see over the horizon and tell the problems before they come up and hit you in the windshield. But also you are the 317 318 one who recommended that it was safe to launch the Web site 319 on October 1. So what happened in those 6 months that led 320 you, yourself, and others in the Administration to believe

321 that this law was, in fact, ready for primetime? Not only did the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services fail to 322 323 establish basic functionality, but Healthcare.gov's flaws 324 continue to pose a threat to the security of Americans' 325 personal data. And just on a personal note, when I went to 326 Healthcare.gov this morning, it was still not functional. 327 Another Web site, HealthSherpa.com, can actually tell me 328 about the plans that are available in my area. We know it was possible to do this. We are all wondering why it wasn't. 329 330 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will yield back. 331 [The prepared statement Dr. Burgess follows:]

******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********

```
333
          Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman yields back.
          Now recognize the Ranking Member of the Full Committee,
334
335
    Mr. Waxman, for 5 minutes.
336
          Mr. {Waxman.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
          The last 6 weeks have been a difficult one for
337
338
     supporters of the Affordable Care Act. The troubled rollout
339
     of the Web site prevented many of our constituents from
     signing up for the affordable, high-quality coverage for
340
341
     which they now qualify. And it has been relentlessly
342
     exploited for political gain by Republican opponents of the
343
     law.
344
          I was interested to hear the phrase in the 2
     Republicans' statements, maybe in all of them; we don't want
345
346
     a third world Web site. Well, let me tell you what is third
347
    world. Third world in this country is when we leave millions
348
     of people unable to get insurance because they have pre-
     existing medical conditions, or they can't afford it. No
349
350
     other industrial country allows such a thing to happen, but
351
     that is what Republicans who have opposed this law would have
352
    us return to.
353
          I think we are turning the corner on the Web site. On
354
     Friday, Jeff Zients, the Administration's point person on
```

Healthcare.gov, announced two key metrics of improvement, and 355 356 it seems to me these are all very good signs the Web site is getting better. Additional improvements are still needed, 357 358 but Healthcare.gov means more and more people will be signing 359 up for coverage as that Web site becomes more usable. 360 I want to tell you what is happening in California. 361 the first month, 35,000 people enrolled in the Exchange, over 70,000 qualified for Medicaid, and state officials say that 362 the pace of enrollment is increasing. In is just the first 363 364 12 days of November, enrollment from the first month almost 365 doubled. Now, I know we are looking today at the issue of data 366 367 security on Healthcare.gov. It is an important issue. We 368 should begin by acknowledging that the ACA represents an 369 enormous step forward for privacy because, when people apply 370 for insurance coverage, the law bans them from being asked questions about their underwriting, about their medical 371 372 conditions, about the privacy of things that affect their 373 health, because it is not necessary to ask those questions. 374 They are not going to be denied insurance coverage because of previous medical problems. But there is some personal 375 376 information that people are going to be asked for when they 377 sign up, and we need to ensure that this information is

378 protected. 379 This question comes up repeatedly--came up repeatedly when Secretary Sebelius was before us. She told us the 380 381 department is placing a high priority on the security of the 382 Web site, and the highest security standards are in place to 383 protect personal information on Healthcare.gov. 384 I hope this hearing will be serious, evenhanded inquiry, but I fear that some of my Republican colleagues may 385 exaggerate security concerns to stoke public fear, and 386 387 exaggerate it so that they can dissuade people from even 388 signing up. This is exactly what this subcommittee did when they launched an investigation into nonprofit community 389 organizations serving as healthcare navigators. They were 390 391 harassing these people in order to prevent them from helping 392 people learn what is available to them. 393 Mr. Chairman, yesterday we learned that you have been 394 withholding important investigative documents, leaking them 395 to the press before even providing them to the Democratic 396 members and staff. And I sent you a letter this morning 397 describing why this is a violation of the committee's 398 precedent. It is not the way this committee has judicially 399 operated, and it raises concerns about whether these hearings

are becoming another partisan attempt to weaken the

401 Affordable Care Act. 402 The committee should not go down that road. We should 403 be using our oversight powers to improve the Affordable Care 404 Act, not to sabotage it or to discourage Americans from 405 signing up for quality care. 406 I want to yield the balance of my time, Mr. Chairman, to Mr. Dingell. 407 408 [The prepared statement of Mr. Waxman follows:]

******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********

410 Mr. {Dingell.} I thank the gentleman. I ask unanimous 411 consent to revise and extend my remarks, and I am pleased to 412 be here and I am certainly pleased that my subscription to 413 The Washington Post is in effect so I can find out what is being leaked by my Republican colleagues to the media. 414 415 This is interesting. We have clearly a violation of the practices, traditions and histories this committee and the 416 investigations it has done. I speak as a member who has done 417 418 more investigations than anybody in this room, including 419 probably more than all of them put together. 420 Here, we have a breach of the responsibility of the leadership to make information available to the committee at 421 422 the same time they make it to the press. I find that 423 difficult, but worse than that, I find it intolerable that 424 this committee is running around fishing for trouble where none exists. I feel a little bit like the old maid who came 425 426 home and looked under the bed to find out if there was 427 somebody there, hoping, in fact, that there would be. Unfortunately, there is not. 428 I have seen no evidence of any complaints or any 429 430 evidence of misbehavior with regard to the information that 431 is controlled by the government. I would urge this committee

- 432 to spend its time trying to make this situation work, and see
- 433 to it that we collect the information that is necessary, make
- 434 the Web site work, and see to it that we register the
- 435 Americans so that we can cease being a third world nation,
- 436 both with regard to how the Congress runs and how the
- 437 healthcare of this country works.
- 438 Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman's time has expired.
- 439 Mr. {Dingell.} We are down around the third world
- 440 nations in the way that we take care of the health of our
- 441 people. Look at the statistics.
- 442 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.
- 443 Mr. {Dingell.} It will give you a shock.
- [The prepared statement of Mr. Dingell follows:]
- 445 ******** COMMITTEE INSERT ********

```
446
          Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman's time has expired.
447
          Thank you very much. And now I would like to introduce
448
     the witnesses on our first panel for today's hearing. Henry
449
     Chao has served since January 2011 as the Deputy Chief
     Information Officer and Deputy Director of the Office of
450
     Information Services at the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid
451
452
     Services. Some of his prior roles include Chief Information
    Officer in the Office of Consumer Information and Insurance
453
454
     Oversight, and Chief Technology Officer for CMS. I now swear
455
     in the witness.
          You are aware, Mr. Chao, that the committee is holding
456
457
     an investigative hearing, and when doing so, has the practice
458
     of taking testimony under oath. Do you have any objection to
459
     taking testimony under oath? The witness indicates no.
460
     Chair then advises you that under the rules of the House and
     the rules of the committee, you are entitled to be advised by
461
462
     counsel. Do you desire to be advised by counsel during your
     testimony today? Mr. counsel indicates no. In that case,
463
464
     would you please rise, raise your right hand, I will swear
     you in.
465
466
          [Witness sworn.]
467
          Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. You are now under oath and
```

- subject to the penalties set forth in Title XVIII, Section

 1001 of the United States Code. You may now give a 5-minute

 summary of your written statement. And make sure the

 microphone is on and pulled close to you. Thank you, Mr.
- 472 Chao.

^TESTIMONY OF HENRY CHAO, DEPUTY CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER 473 AND DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF INFORMATION SERVICES 474 475 CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES (CMS) 476 Mr. {Chao.} Thank you, Chairman Murphy, Ranking Member 477 DeGette, and members of the subcommittee for inviting me to testify about the security of the Federally-facility 478 479 Marketplace. 480 The security and protection of personal and financial 481 information is a top priority for CMS which, for decades, has protected the personal information of the more than 100 482 million Americans enrolled in Medicare, Medicaid and the 483 484 Children's Health Insurance Program. 485 The protection of personal information in CMS programs 486 is a monumental responsibility. Every day, CMS enrolls new Medicare beneficiaries, pays claims timely and efficiently, 487 and protects the information of consumers and providers. CMS 488 used this experience and our security-best practices to build 489 490 a secure federal marketplace that consumers should feel 491 confident entrusting with their personal information. 492 CMS follows federal law, government-wide security 493 processes and standard business practices to ensure stringent

security and privacy protections. CMS's security protections 494 495 are not singular in nature; rather, the marketplace is 496 protected by an extensive set of security layers. 497 First and foremost, the application--the online 498 application is developed with secure code. Second, the 499 application infrastructure is physically and logically 500 protected by our hosting provider. Third, the application is 501 protected through an internet defense shield in order to protect unauthorized access to any personal data. Finally, 502 503 several entities provide direct and indirect security 504 monitoring, security testing, and security oversight which includes the various organizational groups that CMS are 505 506 reporting to key stakeholders with respect to security and 507 privacy. 508 This includes the Department of Health and Human 509 Services. We also work in conjunction with US-CERT, which is 510 operated by the Department of Homeland Security. CERT stands 511 for Computer Emergency Response Team. And the Office of the 512 Inspector General of HHS. Each of these groups have varying 513 roles to ensure operational management and technical controls 514 are implemented and successfully working. 515 The Federally-facilitated Marketplace is protected by 516 the high standards demanded of federal information systems,

including regulations and standards proscribed by FISMA, 517 518 NIST, the Privacy Act and the directives promulgated by The 519 Office of Management and Budget. 520 CMS designed the marketplace IT systems and the Hub to 521 reduce possible vulnerabilities and increase the efficiency. 522 A large number of connections can cause security 523 vulnerabilities. The Hub allows for 1 highly-secured 524 connection between highly-protected databases of trusted states and federal agencies, instead of hundreds of 525 526 connections that would have been established as part of how 527 normal business practices in present day in how government connects organizations with each other to conduct business. 528 529 A series of business agreements enforce privacy controls 530 between CMS and our federal and state partners. 531 Additionally, CMS designed the marketplace systems to limit 532 the amount of personal data stored, and protects personal 533 information and limit access through passwords, encryption 534 technologies, zoned architecture with firewall separation in between the zones, and various other security controls to 535 536 monitor log-in and to prevent unauthorized access to our 537 systems. 538 CMS also protects the federal marketplace through

intensive and stringent security testing. While the federal

540 marketplace has had some performance issues that could have 541 been addressed through more comprehensive functionality and 542 performance testing, I want to be clear that we have 543 conducted extensive security testing for the systems that 544 went live on October 1. We continue to test for security on 545 a daily and a weekly basis any new functions or code prior to 546 its launch. Of course, we are working around the clock to fix our performance issues so that the vast majority of users 547 have a smooth experience with the site by the end of the 548 549 month. 550 While I cannot go into specifics of our security testing due to sensitive nature, I assure you that CMS conducts 551 continuous antivirus and malware scans, as well as monitors 552 553 data flow and protections against threats by denying access 554 to known source-bad IP addresses and actors. Additionally, 555 we conduct two separate types of penetration testing on a 556 weekly basis. The most recent penetration testing showed no 557 significant findings. Also on a weekly basis, CMS reviews 558 the operation system infrastructure and the application 559 software to be sure that these systems are compliant and do not have vulnerabilities. Vulnerabilities are often fixed 560 561 immediately on-site, and retested to ensure the strength of 562 our system's security. Each month, we review our plan of

563 action and milestones in order to continuously improve our 564 system's security. 565 For the Federally-facilitated Marketplace, we conduct 566 security control assessments on a quarterly basis, which is beyond the FISMA requirements. As of today, no 567 568 vulnerabilities identified by our tests have been exploited through an attack. Because of CMS's experience running 569 570 trusted secure programs, our fulfillment of federal security standards and constant and routine security monitoring and 571 572 testing, the American people can be confident in the privacy 573 and security of the marketplace. 574 Thank you, and I would be happy to answer your 575 questions. 576 [The prepared statement of Mr. Chao follows:] 577 ********** INSERT A *********

```
578
          Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you, Mr. Chao. I will recognize
579
     myself first for 5 minutes.
580
          Mr. Chao, for the last year, members of this committee
581
     have asked you and others in the Administration about the
582
     status of the launch of the President's healthcare law. We
     wanted to know if you would be ready for the October 1 start
583
584
     of enrollment. Over and over, we were assured that all was
     well and everything was on track.
585
586
          The documents produced to the committee show a different
     picture, and I would like to walk through a couple of them
587
588
     with you.
589
          In mid-March, you made a candid comment that you didn't
590
     want the Exchange Web site to be a third world experience.
591
     Now the committee has learned about a report prepared by
592
     committee for senior HHS and Whitehouse officials, and
593
     presented to these officials in late March and early April
     this year. That document is tab 1 of your document binder.
594
     This document highlights a number of risks facing
595
     Healthcare.gov's launch, late policy, delayed designs, and
596
     building time and limited to a test.
597
598
          When did you first see this presentation?
599
          Mr. {Chao.} I haven't seen that presentation.
```

```
600
          Mr. {Murphy.} You were not briefed at all that there
601
     was a McKinsey report presentation going on?
602
          Mr. {Chao.} I knew that McKinsey had been brought in to
603
     conduct some interviews and assessments and report to our
604
     administrator, in which I actually participated in some of
605
     those--
606
          Mr. {Murphy.} You participated in the interviews when
     McKinsey was exploring this?
607
          Mr. {Chao.} Right, but I was not given the final
608
609
     report.
610
          Mr. {Murphy.} Were you aware that they had met with
     Secretary Sebelius, Marilyn Tavenner, Gary Cohen and others
611
     at CMS Headquarters, HHS Headquarters, the Executive Office
612
613
     Building and the Whitehouse?
614
          Mr. {Chao.} We--
615
          Mr. {Murphy.} Any of those incidences?
616
          Mr. {Chao.} I believe there were some meetings that I
     heard of, but I don't know the exact dates when they
617
618
     occurred.
619
          Mr. {Murphy.} Now, part of your job is to make sure
     that this Web site is working, am I correct?
620
621
          Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
```

Mr. {Murphy.} And so this was a major report that went

- 623 as high up as the Secretary, maybe others, we don't know, but
- 624 saying that there was serious problems with this. And you
- 625 are saying that, even though you were interviewed by this,
- 626 you did not ever have this briefing yourself?
- 627 Mr. {Chao.} No, I didn't.
- 628 Mr. {Murphy.} You knew it existed?
- 629 Mr. {Chao.} I had heard that there was a final report
- 630 out, but I didn't see the actual report.
- Mr. {Murphy.} Did anything change for you in
- 632 recognizing that this report was--that this briefing was out
- 633 there, basically telling people working on the HHS Web site
- 634 that there were serious problems, no end-to-end testing, that
- 635 this--other area--various aspects of it?
- Mr. {Chao.} I can't really tell you or speak to you of
- 637 the contents of that report because I did not see it, and I
- 638 didn't hear about it until actually it was in The Washington
- 639 Post.
- Mr. {Murphy.} I mean certainly, this is part of the
- 641 concerns we have, and we are not making this stuff up. It is
- 642 a matter that we have a Web site out there which untold
- 643 millions, tens of millions or hundreds of millions are spent
- on this Web Site, which you have major leadership role here.
- 645 McKinsey is hired to come and present what the problems are,

- 646 and lay out a roadmap of those problems. I am deeply
- 647 concerned that this is something that you knew existed but
- 648 had not read.
- So when were you first concerned that the Administration
- 650 wasn't going to be ready October 1 for the start of the open
- 651 enrollment?
- Mr. {Chao.} I never thought that. I had relative--
- Mr. {Murphy.} But you made a comment about you didn't
- 654 want this to be a plane crash.
- Mr. {Chao.} Well, you are referring to the email--
- Mr. {Murphy.} Yes.
- Mr. {Chao.} --exchange that I had with several--
- Mr. {Murphy.} Yes, certainly that email didn't say
- 659 everything is going fine, congratulations team.
- Mr. {Chao.} Of course--I--
- Mr. {Murphy.} It said I don't want this to be a--so you
- 662 must have had some awareness that some problems existed.
- Mr. {Chao.} Chairman, you have to understand, and the
- 664 committee, that I have been working on this since mid-2010--
- Mr. {Murphy.} And we appreciate that.
- Mr. {Chao.} --and I have--I am a very cautious and--you
- 667 know, I err on the side of caution and urgency because, even
- 668 back in 2010, I didn't believe that, you know, everything

- would be easy and just, you know, going along smoothly. So
 on a regular basis, I work with a lot of my contractors and
 my staff to sensitize them on the sense and level of urgency
 that is involved.

 Mr. {Murphy.} Absolutely. Especially with McKinsey was
 called in to prepare this document which was important enough
 for them to have meetings at CMS, HHS, with the Secretary of
- $\,$ and at the Whitehouse, describing the level of problems. So

Health and Human Services, at the Executive Office Building

- $678\,$ I appreciate your sensitivity and awareness to that. I am
- 679 concerned you saying you have not even read this yet.
- 680 Your testimony mentions the use of sensors and active
- $681\,$ event monitoring. You state that if an event occurs, an
- 682 instant response capability is activated. Has that happened
- 683 yet?

- 684 Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
- Mr. {Murphy.} How many times?
- Mr. {Chao.} You mean whether if we are conducting--
- Mr. {Murphy.} No, an instant response--
- Mr. {Chao.} --an instant response--
- Mr. {Murphy.} --capability. Well, first of all, has
- 690 anything happened yet, any hackers, any breaches, anyone
- 691 trying to get into the system from the outside, has that

```
692
     occurred yet?
693
          Mr. {Chao.} I think that there was 1 incident that I am
694
     aware of, but it requires that we go to a classified facility
695
     and to actually--
696
          Mr. {Murphy.} Only once since the--where--but you are
697
     saying no other attempts to breach into this system have
698
     occurred?
699
          Mr. {Chao.} Not successful ones, no.
          Mr. {Murphy.} Not since when?
700
701
          Mr. {Chao.} Not successful ones.
702
          Mr. {Murphy.} All right. Now, when there are attempts,
     who do you report this to?
703
704
          Mr. {Chao.} It is a combination of a series of
705
     authorities that are involved.
706
          Mr. {Murphy.} Law enforcement?
707
          Mr. {Chao.} Well, through our incident reporting and
708
     breach reporting processes that go through our agencies,
709
     various key leadership and then up through the department, as
710
     well as we have a Security Incident Response Center at the
711
     department that works with US-CERT at DHS.
712
          Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. We will follow-up
713
     subsequently.
```

I know--I am out of time, so we will now recognize Ms.

- 715 DeGette for 5 minutes.
- 716 Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
- 717 First of all, Mr. Chao, and also to the contractors,
- 718 something you said in your opening I think we should really
- 719 take heed, which is you want to be careful not to divulge
- 720 sensitive information about the security designs of the Web
- 721 site. Is that right?
- 722 Mr. {Chao.} That is correct.
- 723 Ms. {DeGette.} So I would say to you and to the
- 724 contractors, and I think the majority would agree with me, if
- 725 there is a question asked about that sensitive information,
- 726 if you would just let us know and then we can take it into
- 727 executive session, or whatever we need to do.
- 728 Ms. {Murphy.} Absolutely.
- 729 Mr. {Chao.} Certainly.
- 730 Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- Now, Mr. Chao, The Chairman was asking you about this
- 732 memo that you had--or it is an email, and it was on Tuesday,
- 733 July 16. If you can take a look at page--at tab 7 in your
- 734 binder--your document binder, please. That is a copy of your
- 735 memo, and it looks to me in reading it that you were
- 736 basically telling people that you wanted to make sure this
- 737 Web site got up and going. Is that right?

```
738
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
739
          Ms. {DeGette.} And that was your view, right?
740
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
741
          Ms. {DeGette.} Did you take further actions after July
742
     16 to try to get the Web site up and going?
743
          Mr. {Chao.} It was a constant daily effort.
744
          Ms. {DeGette.} And it still is, isn't it?
745
          Mr. {Chao.} To improve it, certainly.
          Ms. {DeGette.} Yes. Okay, I would like you now to take
746
747
     a look at tab 1 of your document binder. Now, Mr. Chao, this
748
     is the document that was given to The Washington Post
749
     yesterday by the majority, and also simultaneously to the
    Democrats on the committee. This is the document the
750
751
     Chairman was asking you about in his opening statement. Have
752
     you ever seen this document before?
753
          Mr. {Chao.} No, I haven't.
754
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay, so you don't really know about
755
     whatever it might have said in that document, right?
756
          Mr. {Chao.} No, I--
757
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay, thanks.
         Mr. {Chao.} I believe it is an executive level briefing
758
759
     for--
760
          Ms. {DeGette.} Right, but you weren't--you didn't--you
```

```
761
    weren't part of that briefing?
762
          Mr. {Chao.} No.
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay. That doesn't mean though that you
763
764
    weren't concerned about the Web site working and trying to
765
    make it work.
766
          Mr. {Chao.} Well, of course. I think in some of the
     interviews with McKinsey, you know, I think some of what is
767
768
     in here could have potentially come from information that--
          Ms. {DeGette.} But you wouldn't know that because you
769
770
     didn't see it.
771
         Mr. {Chao.} No, I--
772
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay.
          Mr. {Chao.} --don't see how it was formed.
773
774
          Ms. {DeGette.} I want to talk to you about the topic of
775
     this hearing now for a few minutes, and that is the issue of
776
     security. And I think I heard you say both in your opening
777
     and in response to questioning by The Chairman, I just wanted
778
     to ask again. Have there been vulnerabilities that have been
779
     discovered since the Web site unveiled on October 1?
          Mr. {Chao.} Security vulnerabilities--
780
781
          Ms. {DeGette.} Yes.
782
          Mr. {Chao.} --have not necessarily been reported in
```

terms of it being a security threat. I think there was some

```
784
     misuse of terminology of something like 16 incidents reported
785
     that -- in a previous DHS testimony a couple of days ago, but
786
     they were actually incidents involving disclosure of PII
787
     information, and it wasn't due to the result of anyone trying
788
     to attack the Web site.
789
          Ms. {DeGette.} What was it a result of?
790
          Mr. {Chao.} It was dealing with some training issues at
791
     the call center, or we had a system issue where if you had
     similar usernames, we had--and you chose a special character
792
793
     at the end of that username, for example, if you--your name
794
     is Smith and you chose an @ sign at the end of the username,
795
     sometimes that @ sign was treated like a--what we call a
796
     wildcard search, so the return log-in information about
797
     someone else, but that since--since was reported, has been
798
     fixed as of today.
799
          Ms. {DeGette.} That problem has been fixed so that is--
800
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
          Ms. {DeGette.} --not happening anymore?
801
802
          Mr. {Chao.} It is not a hacker--
803
          Ms. {DeGette.} Now, you have been at the Agency how
804
     long, sir?
805
          Mr. {Chao.} Approximately 20 years.
```

Ms. {DeGette.} And in working on the other sensitive

```
807
     areas, Medicare and other areas, is this common that
808
     sometimes there might be a little bump like this?
809
          Mr. {Chao.} Fairly common.
810
          Ms. {DeGette.} Uh-huh, and what does the Agency do when
811
     that is identified?
          Mr. {Chao.} We have an extensive set of processes and
812
813
     controls in place with designated personnel to handle whether
814
     thev are--
815
          Ms. {DeGette.} And--
816
          Mr. {Chao.} --for example, security breaches versus the
817
     personally-identifiable information-type incidents, data
818
     loss.
819
          Ms. {DeGette.} And there is continuing testing, is that
820
     right?
821
          Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
822
          Ms. {DeGette.} Now, MITRE has been performing
     assessments for CMS, is that correct?
823
          Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
824
825
          Ms. {DeGette.} And what that does is it gives the
826
     contractors the opportunity to identify and resolve security
     vulnerabilities, is that correct?
827
828
          Mr. {Chao.} I think what is--the benefit is that we use
```

a set of contractors to independently test the system so that

```
830
     we are not taking the words of, let us say, for example, QSSI
831
     or CGI themselves performing security testing. So this
832
     independent testing provides us a more, you know, balanced
833
     view of--
834
          Ms. {DeGette.} And is this ongoing, this--
835
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
836
          Ms. {DeGette.} --this independent testing?
837
          Mr. {Chao.} It is on a daily and weekly basis.
          Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
838
839
          Mr. {Murphy.} The Chair now recognizes Mr. Barton for 5
840
     minutes.
841
          Mr. {Barton.} Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
          In Mr. Dingell's opening statement, and to some extent
842
843
     what Ms. DeGette just said, I am reminded of the movie,
844
     Casablanca, and Claude Rains, the French chief of police,
845
     goes into Rick's Café and says, I am shutting it down, I am
846
     shutting it down. And Rick comes up, who is played by
847
     Humphrey Bogart, and says, why are you shutting us down.
                                                                And
848
     Claude Rains, the chief of police, says, I am shocked,
849
     shocked, to learn there is gambling going on, just as the
850
     croupier comes up and says to Claude Rains, your winnings,
851
     sir.
```

It is interesting and amusing that the past master

```
853
     running this committee, Mr. Dingell, would be shocked,
854
     shocked and amazed that something was given to The Washington
855
     Post yesterday. Now, I am not saying that it was, I don't
856
     know, but if it did happen, it wouldn't be the first time in
857
     this committee's history that documents were given to the
858
     press at approximately the same time they were distributed to
     the members of the committee.
859
          Mr. {Dingell.} If the gentleman would yield, I didn't
860
     say I was shocked, I said I was grateful I had the
861
862
     subscription to The Washington Post so I could keep track of
863
     what--
864
          Mr. {Barton.} Well--
865
          Mr. {Dingell.} --is going on in the committee--
866
          Mr. {Barton.} Well--
867
          Mr. {Dingell.} --along with my Republican--
868
          Mr. {Barton.} --reclaiming my time from my--which is my
869
     time, from my good friend. What shocks me is that a--Mr.
870
     Chao, our witness, who is the Deputy Chief Information
     Officer and Deputy Director of the Office of Information and
871
872
     Services for Medicare and Medicaid, who has been identified
     numerous times as the chief person in charge of preparing
873
     this Web site at the CMS level, didn't--was not aware of this
874
875
     document. I mean to me, that is what is shocking.
```

876 So my first question to you, sir, is when were you made 877 aware of this McKinsey briefing document? 878 Mr. {Chao.} I think I was aware that some document was 879 being prepared, because I had gone through the interviews, 880 but towards the end when the briefings occurred, I was not 881 part of them--well, nor was I given a copy. 882 Mr. {Barton.} I mean were you aware that McKinsey had been hired to come in and basically troubleshoot the status 883 of the Web site? 884 885 Mr. {Chao.} I don't think they were brought in to 886 troubleshoot, I think they were brought in to make an 887 assessment by conducting various interviews with key--888 Mr. {Barton.} Did--Mr. {Chao.} --stakeholders. 889 890 Mr. {Barton.} Did this group ever talk to you? 891 Mr. {Chao.} Yes. 892 Mr. {Barton.} Okay, so they did come in and at least 893 visit with you? 894 Mr. {Chao.} Yes, they have interviewed me before. Mr. {Barton.} Once, twice, a dozen? 895 Mr. {Chao.} Probably at least two times from what I 896 897 recall.

Mr. {Barton.} Okay. Now, since you have been made

```
899
     aware of the document--
900
          Mr. {Chao.} Well, I--
901
          Mr. {Barton.} --have you studied it?
902
          Mr. {Chao.} No, I was not made aware of the document.
903
     I was interviewed by the team that put that together. When
904
     the document was assembled, I didn't get a copy of it.
          Mr. {Barton.} Okay. Well, as Mr. Dingell has pointed
905
     out, it is in The Washington Post. So have you--before
906
     coming before this subcommittee this morning, have you
907
908
     perused this document?
909
          Mr. {Chao.} No, I have not.
910
          Mr. {Barton.} You have not perused this document, okay.
911
     Well, on page 1 of the document, it says the working group,
912
     whoever that is, maybe you can enlighten us on that,
913
     determined that extending the go-live date, which, as we all
914
     know, is October the 1st, should not be a part of the
     analysis and, therefore, worked within a--with a boundary
915
916
     condition of October the 1st as the launch date. Now, in
917
     plain English, what that means is somebody decided we
918
     couldn't delay the startup date so, by golly, we are going to
919
     assume it is going to go live on October the 1st.
920
          Were you a part of the working group that made that
921
     decision?
```

```
922
          Mr. {Chao.} No.
923
          Mr. {Barton.} Do you know who the working group was
924
     that made that decision?
925
          Mr. {Chao.} No.
          Mr. {Barton.} Do you have any idea, was it the
926
927
     President and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, or
928
    was it somebody below your level that made a decision
929
     somewhere in the bowels of the bureaucracy?
          Mr. {Chao.} I think that it probably was a conglomerate
930
931
    of several--
932
         Mr. {Barton.} A conglomerate?
          Mr. {Chao.} --key leadership that came to that
933
934
    conclusion.
935
          Mr. {Barton.} Okay. Did you--
936
          Mr. {Chao.} I was--
937
          Mr. {Barton.} Did you have any decision-making
938
     authority yourself about when the start-up date should be?
939
          Mr. {Chao.} No.
940
          Mr. {Barton.} That was not in your authority to say we
941
     are going to have to put it off or make a decision to go
942
     forward?
943
          Mr. {Chao.} No, I do not get to pick what date.
944
          Mr. {Barton.} Do you know who did have that decision-
```

945 making authority? 946 Mr. {Chao.} I believe it is our administrator, Marilyn 947 Tavenner, and potentially other folks, but primarily I take 948 my direction from Marilyn Tavenner. 949 Mr. {Barton.} All right. Well, Mr. Chairman, my time 950 has expired, but I will just say in summing up, we are 951 concerned at multiple levels, but if you review this CMS 952 document, which I did not see until just now, this morning, it doesn't take but about 10 minutes to go through and look 953 954 at it, and it is absolutely clear that this--the startup of 955 the Web site was not going to work well, if at all, on October the 1st. It was not. And they--it says that in 956 957 here. 958 So with that, I yield back. 959 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Gentleman's time has expired. 960 The Chair now recognizes Mr. Dingell for 5 minutes. Mr. {Dingell.} Chairman, I thank you for the 961 recognition and thank you for holding this hearing. 962 963 We are over 6 months now into the--6 weeks into the 964 implementation of the Affordable Care Act, and while functioning--functionality of the Healthcare.gov Web site has 965 966 improved, it is clear there is more work to be done, and I am

hopeful that the subcommittee will work hard to achieve that

```
968
     qoal.
969
          ACA is the law of the land, and I believe we share the
970
     goal of making it a functioning and secure Web site, however,
971
     it is important to remember that we can never fully eliminate
972
     the risks when building a large IT system, and so we must
973
     take steps to mitigate them. I would also urge that we take
     the necessary steps to make the program work, because this is
974
975
     the largest undertaking of this character I believe that we
     have ever seen by a government anywhere.
976
977
          First question, yes or no. Is CMS responsible for
     developing the Data Services Hub and the eligibility
978
979
     enrollment tools for the Federally-facilitated Marketplace?
980
     Yes or no, Mr. Chao?
981
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
982
          Mr. {Dingell.} Now, Mr. Chao, are these projects
983
     required to comply with the Privacy Act of 1974, the Computer
     Security Act of 1987, the Federal Information Security
984
985
     Management Act of 2002? Yes or no?
986
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
          Mr. {Dingell.} Now, additionally, CMS must also comply
987
988
     with regulations and standards promulgated by the National
989
     Institute of Standards and Technology at the U.S. Department
```

990

of Commerce. Is that correct?

```
991
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
992
          Mr. {Dingell.} Now, these NIST standards require CMS to
993
     balance security considerations with operational
994
     requirements. Is that correct?
995
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
          Mr. {Dingell.} Mr. Chao, once the key pieces of
996
     Healthcare.gov Web site is the Data Hub. Is this a large
997
998
      repository of personal information as some of my friends on
999
     the other side have claimed? Yes or no?
1000
          Mr. {Chao.} No.
1001
          Mr. {Dingell.} Say that again. No?
1002
          Mr. {Chao.} No, it does not store any--
1003
          Mr. {Dingell.} Okay, I want--
1004
          Mr. {Chao.} --personal--
1005
          Mr. {Dingell.} I want that on the record and clearly
1006
     heard. Does the Data Hub retain any personal information at
1007
     all? Yes or no?
1008
          Mr. {Chao.} No.
1009
          Mr. {Dingell.} Indeed, is it fair to say that the Data
     Hub is a tool to transmit eligibility information to federal
1010
1011
     agencies? Yes or no?
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1012
1013
          Mr. {Dingell.} Now, did the Hub--rather, did the Data
```

```
1014
     Hub pass a security test to the October 1 launch of
1015
     Healthcare.gov? Yes or no?
1016
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1017
          Mr. {Dingell.} All right, is the Data Hub working as
1018
      intended today? Yes--
1019
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1020
          Mr. {Dingell.} --or no?
1021
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1022
          Mr. {Dingell.} And is there any evidence to the
1023
     contrary?
1024
          Mr. {Chao.} No.
1025
          Mr. {Dingell.} Is there any evidence of breaches or
      lack of security of personal data or information by any
1026
1027
     person who has submitted such data to this undertaking? Yes
1028
     or no?
1029
          Mr. {Chao.} No.
1030
          Mr. {Dingell.} It is always true--our duty to remember
1031
     how our healthcare system operated prior to the passage of
1032
      the ACA. At that time, insurance companies were allowed to
1033
     medically underwrite people to determine their premium.
1034
     required lengthy, confusing applications, and contained a lot
     of personal medical information. Oftentimes this was
1035
      submitted electronically as well. ACA has changed all of
1036
```

```
1037
     this.
1038
           Now, in fact, this is a question to you again, Mr. Chao.
1039
      In fact, application forms on Healthcare.gov do not require
1040
      the submission of any personal health information. Is that
1041
     correct, yes or no?
1042
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1043
           Mr. {Dingell.} Now, Mr. Chao, that is because ACA
1044
     prohibits discrimination on the basis of pre-existing
1045
     conditions, and outlaws charging people more because they are
1046
     sick. Is that correct?
1047
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1048
           Mr. {Dingell.} So the information is not necessary?
          Mr. {Chao.} It is not.
1049
1050
           Mr. {Dingell.} And it is not correct--and it is not
1051
     collected?
1052
           Mr. {Chao.} It is not collected.
1053
           Mr. {Dingell.} All right, this is a remarkable
1054
      improvement over the old system in terms of both security and
1055
      the quality of care.
1056
           Next question. There are a lot of negative stories in
1057
     the press that create a lot of confusion, so I want to get
1058
     this record straight.
```

Is Healthcare.gov safe and secure for my constituents to

```
use today with regard to protection of their personal
1060
1061
     information and their privacy? Yes or no?
1062
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1063
           Mr. {Dingell.} Is there any evidence at all to the
1064
     contrary?
1065
           Mr. {Chao.} No.
1066
           Mr. {Dingell.} Mr. Chairman, you have been most
1067
      gracious. I yield you back 12 seconds.
1068
           Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.
1069
           Now going to--
1070
           {Voice.} Ms. Blackburn.
1071
           Ms. {Murphy.} --recognize Mrs. Blackburn for 5 minutes.
1072
     Thank you.
1073
           Mrs. {Blackburn.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1074
           Mr. Chao, we really appreciate that you would come and
1075
     work with us on this issue. I want to talk with you for a
1076
     minute about some red flags that seemed to be apparent to
1077
     you, and you are going to find the email I am referencing at
1078
      tab 7, and it is the July 16, 2013, email that you sent to
1079
     Monique Outerbridge. And I really want to focus there. You
1080
     know, when you have something that is running off the rails
      and--as this obviously seemed to you to be doing, it was a
1081
1082
     project that just was not proceeding as it should be
```

1083 proceeding, and you expressed these concerns about the 1084 performance of CGI, what I would like to hear from you is 1085 just an articulation of maybe what were those top 3 or 4 red 1086 flags that seemed to be going up to you, that you said I fear 1087 that the plane is going to crash on takeoff, and some of 1088 those wording--wordings that we have heard from you now. 1089 So give me just kind of the top 3 or 4 things. 1090 Mr. {Chao.} I think in the context of this email, it 1091 was at a time period in which we were getting ready to roll 1092 out what we called Light Account, which is that initial 1093 registration process. And as I mentioned before, I am a 1094 person who has a lot of anxiety and I always err on the side 1095 of caution if we are going to run out of time, so I 1096 occasionally get a little passionate in my emails to remind 1097 people that they need to move fast, and if they are moving 1098 fast, they need to move faster. That is just the way I 1099 operate and the way I direct staff and contractors. And what 1100 I was afraid of was, at this particular point in time, was 1101 that we were falling behind in the rollout of Light Account. 1102 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Okay, on Light Account, did your test 1103 on that go off without a hitch, or what happened? Mr. {Chao.} There--I don't exactly remember the 1104 1105 specifics about what tests passed or failed, I just was

```
1106
     afraid that we were in jeopardy of missing the date. So,
1107
     therefore, you know, I--at that time period, starting July, I
1108
     wrote lots of emails to try to--
1109
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Okay, did you hit the date?
1110
          Mr. {Chao.} I believe we--it took an extra 4 days.
1111
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} An extra 4 days?
1112
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1113
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} On the test. And you don't remember
1114
     exactly what the concerns were that came to you at that point
1115
      in time. Is there a memo of review, a memo, an articulation
1116
     of what--
1117
          Mr. {Chao.} I--
1118
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} --transpired in that test process?
1119
          Mr. {Chao.} I don't think it is necessarily a memo. I
1120
     think the way we operate is that we near--we have daily
1121
     meetings and--
1122
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Are there minutes from those
1123
     meetings--
1124
          Mr. {Chao.} --we--
           Mrs. {Blackburn.} --and could you submit those to us
1125
1126
      for the record?
1127
          Mr. {Chao.} I don't believe that there were minutes.
                                                                   Ι
1128
     believe they were just status check-ins with, you know,
```

```
1129
     contractors and their--
1130
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Are there notes?
          Mr. {Chao.} No, I don't--
1131
1132
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Informal notes?
1133
          Mr. {Chao.} I don't believe so. I think when my emails
1134
     were--
1135
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Okay.
1136
          Mr. {Chao.} --submitted as evidence--
1137
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} Okay.
1138
          Mr. {Chao.} --that is kind of a--
1139
          Mrs. {Blackburn.} All right, let me go on a minute. I
     want to talk specifically about CGI. What about, you know,
1140
1141
      if you all kind of informally worked in a group, and didn't
1142
     have formal meetings in--or minutes and memos and things of
1143
     that nature, just give me your impression, what was it--your
1144
     perception that caused you to lose confidence in CGI, where
1145
     were you on that, because I think it is so interesting, you
1146
     mentioned price and I note in this email chain from Monique
1147
     Outerbridge that they had $40 million already that they had
1148
      taken, they were coming back and asking for another $38
1149
     million. Now, if I had someone who had used up all of their
     money from a project, and then they came back and asked for
1150
1151
      that much more, I think I would have to say, wait a minute.
```

- 1152 So regardless, obviously, the price to you was of tremendous
- 1153 concern. Am I right on that?
- 1154 Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
- 1155 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Okay, so they had already kind of
- 1156 washed your confidence there. What else was it in their
- 1157 conduct that eroded your confidence in their ability to
- 1158 transact this portion of business?
- 1159 Mr. {Chao.} I think what I was trying to say is that,
- 1160 relatively speaking to, I would say, most project managers
- 1161 that are looking at smaller-scale projects, I would say there
- 1162 might be some room to be--
- 1163 Mrs. {Blackburn.} Okay--
- Mr. {Chao.} --a little more confident, but given what--
- 1165 the task at hand, my confidence level had to deal with the
- 1166 enormous amount of activities we had to be successful at to
- 1167 deliver, you know, on Light Account, that interim, you know,
- 1168 kind of piece, as well as the October 1 delivery.
- 1169 Mrs. {Blackburn.} I yield back.
- 1170 Mr. {Murphy.} Yeah, I am just curious, to follow-up to
- 1171 that. Did you ever present these concerns that you had about
- 1172 being ready--whether or not it would be ready on October 1,
- 1173 when you were interviewed by McKinsey people?
- 1174 Mr. {Chao.} Well, this was in the July time frame. I

- 1175 think McKinsey was--their interviews were in maybe March or 1176 April time frame. 1177 Mr. {Murphy.} I just wondered if you presented any 1178 concerns to them about being able to meet these dates when 1179 you spoke with them? 1180 Mr. {Chao.} I think as a course of conducting project 1181 management, program management, that working with CGI and 1182 QSSI and my team, we discussed these concerns on an ongoing 1183 basis. In--1184 Mr. {Murphy.} Just one note. I will follow up--1185 Mr. {Chao.} Okay. Mr. {Murphy.} We will make sure someone follows up. 1186 1187 Now--1188 {Voice.} Mr. Waxman. 1189 Mr. {Murphy.} --recognize Mr. Waxman, there we are, for 1190 5 minutes. 1191 Mr. {Waxman.} And thank you, Mr. Chairman. 1192 Nobody is happy with this rollout of the Healthcare.gov, 1193 and the Administration has taken its lumps, but aside from
- 1196 be able to access the Web site and choose a healthcare plan,

lessons learned, it seems to me that my focus ought to be and

my concern is getting this thing working. Americans want to

1197 especially those who haven't been able to get it--an

1194

```
1198
      opportunity to buy health insurance in the past. That is why
1199
     it seems to me, if we need legislative changes, we should
1200
     make changes to make it work, not to repeal it. You know,
1201
     the Republicans are so fixated on hating this law and they
1202
     want to repeal it. They don't even want to consider helping
1203
     make it work, and that is the focus that I want to use in
1204
     asking you some questions, Mr. Chao. How do we make this
1205
     work better?
1206
           Now, is it accurate to say that CMS is getting the Web
1207
      site up and running?
1208
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1209
           Mr. {Waxman.} Okay, and is it accurate that CMS has
     crossed--Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services, that is
1210
1211
      the department--part of HHS that is working on it, they have
     crossed 200 items off its punch list?
1212
1213
           Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
1214
           Mr. {Waxman.} And can you give me a few examples of
1215
      important issues that have recently been addressed?
1216
           Mr. {Chao.} Issues related to the enrollment
1217
     transactions that had some data issues -- data quality issues
1218
     that were fixed, and now issuers can receive that data
1219
     without doing a lot of cleaning up of that data. So--
1220
           Mr. {Waxman.} Um-hum.
```

1221 Mr. {Chao.} --data quality has improved. The daily 1222 transactions that we send to them have improved. 1223 Mr. {Waxman.} Um-hum. 1224 Mr. {Chao.} The response times for the Web site have 1225 improved. The error rate of people experiencing some level 1226 of difficulty with moving from stage to stage in their online 1227 application, that has been reduced and improved. 1228 Mr. {Waxman.} Well, in fact, Jeff Zients, the 1229 Administration's point person on this whole Web site, 1230 announced on Friday that you have dropped your error rate 1231 from below--from 6 percent to below 1 percent, and you have 1232 cut the average wait time for page loading from 8 seconds to 1233 less than 1 second. What do these improvements look like to 1234 the average consumer going on the site? 1235 Mr. {Chao.} I think they become transparent to the 1236 user. The user then can get at the task at hand of filling out their information, of finding out if they are asking for 1237 1238 a premium tax credit, that they are calculated timely, and 1239 they are proceeding ahead in the application so that they can 1240 apply some, all or none of that premium tax credit to their 1241 plan compare so that they can look at the offsets that occur, 1242 and what the final premium should be, to make their selection

and to go through the process in a very efficient and speedy

1244 fashion, as compared to what they experienced on day 1. 1245 Mr. {Waxman.} How about the overall stability of the 1246 site? It was down frequently in the early weeks. Has that 1247 improved? 1248 Mr. {Chao.} Yes, certainly. I think we do have regular maintenance windows, but those maintenance windows are used 1249 1250 to implement these improvements that you have been hearing 1251 about. 1252 Mr. {Waxman.} So numbers seem to be getting better, and 1253 I expect we will see more improvements. The anecdotal 1254 evidence I get is that the site is getting better, slowly but 1255 surely, and that explains why the enrollment rate in November is speeding up significantly. In fact, I do have more than 1256 1257 anecdotes, I have some figures. In Massachusetts, where they 1258 started a similar program, it started off slowly, only 3/10 1259 of a percent overall enrollees for private coverage signed up in the first month, and then thus far, in the Affordable Care 1260 Act, 1.5 percent. So both started slowly. We are even ahead 1261 1262 of what Massachusetts was. But after that, there was a surge 1263 in enrollment as people got closer to deadlines. 1264 The LA Times reported that ``a number of states that use 1265 their own systems are on track to hit enrollment targets for

2014 because of a sharp increase in November. California,

- 1267 which enrolled 31,000 people in private plans last month,
- 1268 nearly doubled that in the first 2 weeks of this month, and
- 1269 several other states are outpacing their enrollment
- 1270 estimates. In Minnesota, enrollment in the second half of
- 1271 October was triple the rate of the first half.'' So we see
- 1272 an acceleration, even in the federal marketplace. New York
- 1273 Times reported that the federal marketplace has nearly
- 1274 doubled its private plan enrollment in just the first 2 weeks
- 1275 of November.
- 1276 We are not where we need to be, but we are seeing
- 1277 improvements, and this increased pace of people going back on
- 1278 the site successfully is, to me, very encouraging. So rather
- 1279 than just attack the healthcare law or look for ways to
- 1280 undermine it, we ought to try to make it work, and we are
- 1281 anxious to make sure that you do your job of getting the Web
- 1282 site and all of that working, and if we need any legislative
- 1283 change, call on us because we are ready, willing and able to
- 1284 act in that regard.
- 1285 Yield back my time.
- 1286 Mr. {Murphy.} The gentleman's time has expired.
- Now recommend--now recognize for 5 minutes the gentleman
- 1288 from Texas, Dr. Burgess.
- 1289 Dr. {Burgess.} And thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you

- 1290 again, Mr. Chao, for being here.
- 1291 In response to one of Dr. Murphy's questions about a
- 1292 breach of the system, you responded that you could not talk
- 1293 about it in open session, that it would require a classified
- 1294 briefing. Is that correct? Did I hear you correctly?
- 1295 Mr. {Chao.} Correct. That was--that is how I was
- 1296 instructed by our department.
- 1297 Dr. {Burgess.} Very well. I would like to go on the
- 1298 record as asking that that classified briefing with staff--
- 1299 bipartisan staff occur. Can I get your commitment on trying
- 1300 to make that happen?
- 1301 Mr. {Chao.} Yes, sir.
- Dr. {Burgess.} Thank you. So the much-talked-about Red
- 1303 Team discussion document from The Washington Post this
- 1304 morning, which, of course, you have not seen, and I
- 1305 appreciate that, but you were interviewed, in response to Mr.
- 1306 Barton's questions, you were interviewed by the McKinsey team
- 1307 who were developing this?
- 1308 Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
- Dr. {Burgess.} Do you remember when?
- 1310 Mr. {Chao.} Approximately April time frame.
- Dr. {Burgess.} During the time frame that this was
- 1312 being developed. Do you recall what you talked about?

```
1313
          Mr. {Chao.} I think primarily what I was intimating to
1314
     the McKinsey team was a schedule challenge, because during
1315
     April, we had just started QHP submission, and working with
1316
      issuers. They were very nervous that--
1317
           Dr. {Burgess.} Excuse me, what is QHP?
1318
          Mr. {Chao.} Qualified health plans.
1319
          Dr. {Burgess.} Okay.
1320
          Mr. {Chao.} I apologize. And in--during that month, it
1321
     was a rapid, you know, process to collect all the qualified
1322
     health plan data that you see in plan compare on
1323
     Healthcare.gov now, as well as in the state-based
1324
     marketplaces, and I was remarking on how that is
1325
     unprecedented to only give issuers, you know, that short
1326
      amount of time to submit their data, and that we needed to
1327
     make adjustments in the windows potentially so that they
1328
     could come back in and make corrections. You know, that is
      an example of what I talked about in terms of the schedule
1329
1330
      challenges that we were trying to undertake something large-
1331
      scale, fairly complex compared to what is happening in the
      insurance landscape today, and that this was new and we were
1332
1333
     working on a short time frame.
1334
           Dr. {Burgess.} And I will stipulate that those are
```

legitimate concerns. And so on page 1 of this Red Team

1336 document, at the bottom of the page, highlighted, the working 1337 group determined that extending the go-live date should not 1338 be part of the analysis, and, therefore, work with a boundary 1339 condition of October 1 as the launch date. In other words, 1340 it didn't matter what the conditions on the ground were, come 1341 hell or high water, October 1 we have got to go live. And 1342 were you given that impression by anyone on your team as you 1343 worked through this? 1344 Mr. {Chao.} Not necessarily characterized that way, but 1345 as I mentioned--1346 Dr. {Burgess.} Well, let me interrupt you again, my time is limited. Who would have made a decision like that, 1347 that it doesn't matter--I mean it is like the old saying, it 1348 1349 doesn't matter what--don't check the weather, we are flying 1350 anyway. Who would make a decision like that? 1351 Mr. {Chao.} I think the decision ultimately is made, 1352 you know, by Marilyn Tavenner and, you know, a team of folks, 1353 I suppose, that she works with. But as the administrator, 1354 she sets the deadlines for my work, and--1355 Dr. {Burgess.} Now, some of the people that are referenced in the report given to the committee by McKinsey, 1356 that people that had discussions in the Whitehouse, the old 1357 1358 Executive Office Building, people like Nancy Endoparell, Jean

```
1359
     Lambru, do you know if they were involved in these decisions?
1360
           Mr. {Chao.} I can't speak to that. I don't--didn't
1361
     hear anything about those discussions.
1362
           Dr. {Burgess.} Have you been in meetings with Jean
1363
     Lambru and Nancy Endoparell?
1364
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1365
           Dr. {Burgess.} And what--could you characterize those
1366
     meetings?
1367
           Mr. {Chao.} The ones that I remember were dealing with
1368
     coordination with IRS on their FTI, federal tax information,
1369
     requirements, security protections and the Privacy Act with
1370
     SSA.
           Dr. {Burgess.} At any point during those meetings, did
1371
1372
      it come up with the concern that we may not be ready trying
1373
      to integrate all of these moving parts by October 1?
1374
           Mr. {Chao.} Not in that context, no.
1375
           Dr. {Burgess.} In any context?
1376
           Mr. {Chao.} You know, concerns about whether if
1377
      agencies were working closely together, but not really in the
1378
      context of October 1, no.
```

1379 Dr. {Burgess.} One of the other things that keeps
1380 coming up and--repeatedly in this report is that, number 1,
1381 there is going to be--there were evolving requirements, there

```
1382
     wasn't a consistent endpoint, there were multiple definitions
1383
     of success, and in spite of all of the concerns brought up by
1384
      the report, it must launch at full volume. I mean it almost
1385
      sounds like a recipe for disaster, doesn't it? You are
1386
      changing the definition as it goes along, you are not allowed
1387
      to change the date, and you have got to launch at full
1388
     volume. That is a pretty tall order, isn't it?
          Mr. {Chao.} It is.
1389
1390
           Dr. {Burgess.} Well, let me ask you this. How does it
1391
     make you feel to know that there was this kind of report out
1392
     there, and that other people knew about it, people in the
1393
     Whitehouse, people within the Agency, and you have been the
     primary point man out there and no one discussed it with you?
1394
1395
     How does that make you feel?
1396
          Mr. {Chao.} I am actually not terribly hurt by it or
1397
      surprised by it. I think the information contained within it
      is something that I live on a day-to-day basis to try to
1398
      deliver a working system. I--
1399
1400
           Dr. {Burgess.} You are playing into everyone's worst
1401
      fear about what it is like to be in the bureaucracy.
           Let me ask you this. One of the things brought up in
1402
1403
      this report is that there is not a single implementation
1404
     leader--
```

```
1405
          Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman's time has expired.
1406
           Dr. {Burgess.} --do you feel during your time that
1407
      there has been a single implementation leader that you could
1408
      look to for advice and direction through this?
1409
          Mr. {Chao.} I think I have looked to several because of
1410
     how--
1411
          Dr. {Burgess.} Name one.
1412
          Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman's time has expired. We are
1413
     going to need to follow up with that. So we will submit
1414
      those questions for the record too.
1415
          Now recognize the gentleman from Texas, Mr. Green, for 5
1416
     minutes.
1417
          Mr. {Green.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And like all of
1418
     us, I have some concern, I have some questions in a minute
1419
     about the Healthcare.gov, but I want to just say that, you
1420
      know, it is frustrating for those of us on this side of the
      aisle who supported it, who actually worked a lot of times on
1421
1422
      the drafting of different versions of the Affordable Care
1423
     Act, to see what happened on October 1 without the rollout.
     And to have it successful, that is the way we need to deal
1424
1425
     with it, because having been here through also the
1426
     prescription drug plan for seniors, that is the way you can
1427
      get to the numbers you really need. So hopefully that will
```

1428 happen. But the law is still there, and last Saturday in our 1429 district, at least in Houston, because in Texas, we are 1430 unfortunate, we have some of the highest percentage and 1431 numbers of uninsured folks in the country, and in our 1432 congressional district 42 percent of my constituents work and 1433 don't have insurance through their employer. So they could--1434 they would be qualified to go with the ACA. And we actually 1435 did it by paper. Now, I have to admit, I can't remember 1436 except--and I wasn't around when Medicare was rolled out. I 1437 guess that was the last time we rolled anything out by paper, 1438 but let me give you the results. We had 3 members of 1439 Congress, the Mayor of Houston, our Republican county judge, 1440 and the Secretary of Labor. We actually had 800 families 1441 show up on a Saturday morning and signed in, of course, with multiple attendees per family, nearly 300 people set up 1442 1443 follow-up appointments after a navigator. We had 88 of the 1444 certified navigators there. And we don't know how many applications were completed because the number is still be 1445 1446 tallied by navigators and HHS and our regional office out of 1447 Dallas. So there are people out there who want to do it. 1448 And if we have to do it by paper, we will do it, but that is 1449 the frustration we have. We want this to work because there 1450 are millions of people in our country who need this. Now, I

- 1451 know my--the majority in the House may not understand that,
- 1452 but I know in our district they do.
- But I don't know if you have a comment, but let me--and
- 1454 I can get to the Healthcare.gov.
- 1455 Mr. {Chao.} I think CMS takes to heart the matter, and
- 1456 I think everyone working on this is absolutely serious about
- 1457 improving this experience because we know that in districts
- 1458 like yours, there are quite a few number of people that need
- 1459 and want to enroll and use this benefit. So we are certainly
- 1460 working very hard to make that happen.
- Mr. {Green.} Well, with that success, believe me, we
- 1462 are going to do a lot of smaller ones in our district, and
- 1463 try and work with them and partner with media companies to
- 1464 maybe get the message out.
- I have a few questions about Healthcare.gov and the
- 1466 important goal I think we both share, and sharing is part of
- 1467 the success in implementation of the Affordable Care Act,
- 1468 people can have access to care they need and when they need
- 1469 it. Part of this goal requires that federal and state
- 1470 exchanges secure the American people can trust their
- 1471 information and privacy won't be compromised. How is the
- 1472 Data Hub used to determine eligibility and enroll applicants
- 1473 and process appeals different from the data systems used by

```
1474
      other agencies -- federal agencies, such as Social Security or
1475
     the IRS?
1476
           Mr. {Chao.} How is the Data Hub different?
1477
           Mr. {Green.} Than the other agencies who obviously have
1478
     up and running ways where Social Security and even IRS you
1479
     can file?
1480
           Mr. {Chao.} Well, I think what makes it different is
1481
      that, for example, SSA is the eligibility agency for
1482
     Medicare. So every night, SSA's field offices load data
1483
     about accretions and deletions into the Medicare Program, and
1484
     we receive a very large file from them every night that we
1485
     process for 2 to 3 hours to update all of our systems, so
      that providers can see new Medicare beneficiaries accreting
1486
1487
      into the system. That is lots of data moving between 2
1488
      organizations, and it is stored and it is time-intensive.
1489
      The Data Services Hub goes out and, for a requestor of that
1490
      data, a valid requestor, it reads the data where the source
1491
      is, transfers it back to the requestor in a secure fashion,
1492
      does not remember the contents of that data, and facilitates
      that without moving massive, you know, millions of records of
1493
1494
      data all at once, all the time, every day. It only transfers
1495
      enough data to get the job done.
```

Mr. {Green.} Were you at the HHS when we have gone

```
1497
      through two Medicare enrolling by internet? I mean when we
1498
      shifted from having to go into a Social Security office to
1499
      file the paperwork, you can do it online now.
1500
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes. Yes.
1501
           Mr. {Green.} And I assume there were some glitches when
1502
      that first started.
1503
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1504
          Mr. {Green.} And, of course, we didn't have a deadline
1505
     and a rollout and things like that. It was built in over the
1506
     time so you had time to problem solve. And--
1507
          Mr. {Chao.} Right.
1508
          Mr. {Green.} --our problem is we don't have that time
1509
     to problem solve here in later November, and--
1510
           Mr. {Chao.} I still remember in mid-'90s, SSA put up
1511
     the electronic benefits statement, and after a few months,
1512
      they had to take it down and it didn't come back up until
1513
     years later--
1514
          Mr. {Green.} Well--
1515
          Mr. {Chao.} --until they perfected it. Yeah.
           Mr. {Green.} Okay, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
1516
1517
          Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman yields back.
1518
           Now recognize the gentleman from Louisiana, Mr. Scalise,
```

1519

for 5 minutes.

1520 Mr. {Scalise.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate 1521 you having this hearing, and, Mr. Chao, appreciate you coming 1522 to testify before the committee. 1523 We have had a number of hearings like this over the last 1524 few months, trying to find out first how the rollout was 1525 going to work, and of course, we have gotten testimony time 1526 and time again from the Administration that the rollout was 1527 going to be fine. And then I think what is most frustrating 1528 is that when this report came out, this McKinsey report, that 1529 really chronicles the problems that were happening months 1530 ago, back in March and April, at the same time that 1531 Administration officials were telling us that everything was 1532 going to be fine, and to that -- and telling American families 1533 that everything was going to be fine when October 1 hit. I 1534 quess there are many things about this that trouble me, but 1535 first, you know, when I look at this, you say you hadn't seen 1536 this report, and I have read through a number of these items 1537 that McKinsey pointed out in the report that they were 1538 telling them to somebody in CMS, around you, over you, under 1539 you, somewhere, but these are things that should have been 1540 just basic testing requirements. I, you know, I used to 1541 write software. I actually wrote test plans for software 1542 rollouts, and, you know, in fact, many of these are just

1543 basic commonsense things you do. I mean we--if we made one 1544 line of code change, we literally would test that over and 1545 over in multiple ways, let alone major changes. 1546 What this report talks about is chaos at CMS. Nobody is 1547 in charge. They talk about the fact that you had multiple 1548 people that were making multiple changes to--and major design 1549 changes to the system just weeks prior to testing, I mean--1550 prior to the rollout without testing it. I mean did you have 1551 a test plan, did you--whether or not you read this report, 1552 these are things that you should have been doing anyway. I 1553 mean were you all making changes, big changes all the way 1554 through, and were you testing any of those changes, or just saying, well, you know, they told us October 1, roll it out 1555 1556 no matter what. 1557 Mr. {Chao.} You have asked a lot of questions in there. 1558 Mr. {Scalise.} Yeah. 1559 Ms. {Chao.} So let me try to recall how to address 1560 them. I think that certainly, yes, if you have this 1561 experience in software development, you need to have solid 1562 requirements before you can actually have good test cases in which to actually run tests. I think it is an--a dynamically 1563 1564 changing environment of which, if we had more time and we--1565 and that time would have been devoted to solidifying

1566 requirements that are translated from policy--1567 Mr. {Scalise.} You had 3 years. I mean there were 3 1568 years. This is not something that just kind of got plopped 1569 on your desk. I mean the law passed and was signed into law 1570 in 2010. There was a lot of time to prepare for it. 1571 requirements -- the major requirements were changing weeks 1572 before, some of them for political reasons by the Obama 1573 Administration. So you can't just say, well, you know, we 1574 just didn't have enough time. I mean somebody in CMS, and if 1575 it wasn't you, it was--maybe it was Ms. Tavenner or who knows 1576 who it was, but somebody was making all these changes and 1577 saying, gee whiz, I mean, you know, we--let us make big changes and don't test it because we just want to roll this 1578 1579 thing out no matter what. 1580 Mr. {Chao.} Well, having written software or written 1581 test cases, you know that the requirements come from the business side or the policy side. And they are subject to 1582 1583 change based upon how your customer or your business--1584 Mr. {Scalise.} The law didn't change. 1585 Mr. {Chao.} I--1586 Mr. {Scalise.} The law was passed, and for three years that law didn't change. The law was there. You knew what 1587 1588 those requirements were. Now, if you make changes in the

- 1589 requirements, you also ought to make changes in your test
 1590 plan.
- 1591 Mr. {Chao.} I think the law has a very high-level
- 1592 expression of requirements that, certainly, you can't develop
- 1593 code or test cases from. There needs to be a significant
- 1594 amount of translation into lower level details. And that is
- 1595 what I mean by a schedule challenges that we have to receive
- 1596 those requirements and translate them into test cases, test
- 1597 data, to exercise the system and--as well as build the system
- 1598 too. So--
- 1599 Mr. {Scalise.} All right, well, look, they talk in this
- 1600 report that the contractor received absolutely conflicting
- 1601 direction between the various entities within CMS.
- 1602 Conflicting directions within CMS. That is not a requirement
- 1603 change. That is one person saying do this, and another
- 1604 person in the same agency saying do something different.
- 1605 And, by the way, none of that is being tested in the
- 1606 meantime. That is not evolving requirements, that is chaos
- 1607 within the Obama Administration where they are literally
- 1608 changing things and multiple people are changing them and
- 1609 nobody is talking to anybody.
- Mr. {Chao.} Well, I can't speak to how they
- 1611 characterized it, but I think that in CMS, we have Medicaid

```
1612
     and CHIP requirements, we have insurance exchange
1613
     requirements, oversight requirements, medical loss ratio,
1614
     wait review, early retiree reinsurance, pre-existing--
1615
          Mr. {Scalise.} And I know you all have that. Look--
1616
           Mr. {Chao.} There are lots of--
1617
          Mr. {Scalise.} --you have got a job to--
1618
          Mr. {Chao.} --all I am saying is--
1619
          Mr. {Scalise.} The bottom line is, the bottom line is,
     you know, this report lays out the chaos that was going on,
1620
1621
     but these--all of this information was known within the
1622
     Whitehouse. Reports were being briefed to people in the
1623
     Whitehouse. And either President Obama didn't know about it,
      in which case people directly under him knew that this thing
1624
1625
     was going to be a disaster and just didn't tell him, or the
1626
      President did know about it and went out misleading people
1627
      anyway. But either way, if the President really didn't know
1628
      about this, this report says the Whitehouse absolutely knew
1629
     what was going on, and they didn't tell the President. He
1630
      ought to be firing these people today. If somebody--if a CEO
1631
     went out there and said I am rolling out this project, this
1632
     would be just like buying a TV on Amazon, that is what the
      President said, and if somebody right underneath him knew
1633
1634
      that it wasn't going to be like that, and this report says
```

- 1635 absolutely they knew and they didn't tell the President, he
- 1636 ought to go and fire every single one of those people right
- 1637 now and hold them accountable, or maybe that just says that
- 1638 he did know about it. And we will see what the President
- 1639 says, but this report is damming.
- 1640 And I yield back the balance of my time.
- 1641 Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman's time has expired.
- Just--can you just clarify an answer you gave to the
- 1643 gentleman here? I thought you said something like, with more
- 1644 time, you would have done more testing, or something along
- 1645 those lines. Are you saying you would have liked to have
- 1646 more time?
- Mr. {Chao.} No, I think that is what I mean by there is
- 1648 a schedule challenges that you are trying to maximize the
- 1649 time that you have left, as you are trying to extract the
- 1650 requirements from the policy that is being finalized. The
- 1651 longer a policy takes to be finalized, the longer it takes to
- 1652 translate the--
- Mr. {Murphy.} Do you wish you would have had more time
- 1654 to test it?
- 1655 Mr. {Chao.} I think that is true of every project I
- 1656 have ever worked on.
- Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.

```
1658
           Now recognize Mr. Yarmuth for 5 minutes.
1659
          Mr. {Yarmuth.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr.
1660
      Chao, for your testimony today.
1661
           I just want to follow up a little bit on Mr. Scalise's
1662
      line of questioning, what--the issue of whether or not you
1663
     had 3 years to prepare for this. When was the deadline for
      states to decide when they're--they were joining the--doing
1664
1665
      their own Exchanges or were going to participate in the
     Federal Exchange?
1666
1667
          Mr. {Chao.} I think the time frame was the end of 2012.
1668
          Mr. {Yarmuth.} End of 2012. So January 1, essentially,
     of this past year. And when was the deadline for states to
1669
     decide whether they were going to enter into a partnership
1670
1671
     with the federal government?
1672
          Mr. {Chao.} I believe it was the end of April of 2013.
1673
           Mr. {Yarmuth.} So really, the department did--or CMS
     did not have 3 years to prepare, and there was probably no
1674
1675
     way to guess 3 years ago that only 14 states and the District
1676
     of Columbia were going to set up their own Exchanges. Wasn't
      the anticipation that far more states would do their own
1677
1678
     Exchanges?
```

1680 Mr. {Yarmuth.} So the--it really wasn't until this year

Mr. {Chao.} Yes, we were hoping so.

1679

1681 that CMS really understood the magnitude of the volume of 1682 work that the Web site was going to have to accommodate? 1683 Mr. {Chao.} Correct. It is--1684 Mr. {Yarmuth.} Right. 1685 Mr. {Chao.} --not such a clear binary decision. You do 1686 or you don't. There is still coordination that has to occur 1687 in--1688 Mr. {Yarmuth.} Right. Thank you for that. 1689 Now, obviously, when we are talking about security, we 1690 are talking about two separate issues; one is the 1691 vulnerability of the system to some kind of outside attack. 1692 I don't know why anyone would really want to attack the 1693 Federal Exchange, but assuming that is an issue. The second 1694 one is, the average citizen is concerned about information 1695 that is there about them. And I think that is one thing we 1696 are most interested here. Mr. Dingell actually asked you 1697 directly about the fact that there really isn't very much 1698 information on the Web site that would be considered private 1699 in nature. And I quess the question I would ask is, are 1700 people who are working with the Exchange now subject to or 1701 vulnerable to a more--a breach of their privacy than they 1702 were under the prior system when the insurance companies had 1703 pages and pages and pages of health information, including

1704 every doctor they had ever visited, every prescription they 1705 had ever taken, every medical procedure they had undergone 1706 and--over a certain period of time? Would you say that there 1707 was much more vulnerable--vulnerability under that system 1708 than there would be under the Federal Exchange? 1709 Mr. {Chao.} Much more so because so much more personal 1710 information, including health information, was involved in 1711 that process. 1712 Mr. {Yarmuth.} And I think during the course of 1713 questioning we have actually done a pretty good job of 1714 debunking the issue as to whether there really was security 1715 problem here. There is no evidence that there has been, and 1716 I think there really hasn't been any evidence presented that 1717 would make us doubt that. So I am glad about that, and I 1718 think that should encourage Americans to participate more 1719 actively. 1720 And since--one other thing that has come up, and it 1721 involves the question of 80 percent, and it is something I 1722 want to clarify because the press reports have been that the 1723 Administration has said as a metric that 80 percent will be 1724 able to get on the site and smoothly sign up--enroll for 1725 health coverage as of the end of this month. That doesn't mean that the remaining 20 percent won't be able to access 1726

1727 affordable quality health insurance, does it? 1728 Mr. {Chao.} No. I can't speak to the exact 1729 percentages, but I think there is a recognition that some 1730 people, whether it be Healthcare.gov or any system, for 1731 example, if you walked into an SSA field office, how many 1732 people can actually get their business done in one visit, as 1733 compared to, you know, the greater majority of people? I 1734 think some people need extra help. They need assistance to 1735 navigate the process, and I think that that is probably what 1736 they were referring to. 1737 Mr. {Yarmuth.} Thank you very much for that. 1738 And I just want to do some shameless self-promotion for 1739 my state right now. As of last Friday, Kentucky, obviously 1740 operating its own Exchange, 48,000 Kentuckians are enrolled 1741 in new health insurance, 41 percent of them are under the age 1742 of 35. Over 452,000 visitors have gone to the Web site, 380,000 people have conducted preliminary screenings to find 1743 1744 out if they are eligible for coverage. And I think most 1745 importantly maybe, over--almost 1,000 businesses have actually begun the process of signing up for new coverage for 1746 1747 their employees, and over 300 have actually been enrolled and 1748 have been qualified now to offer coverage. So Kentucky is 1749 doing well, and I hope the Federal Exchange will do just as

```
1750
     well.
1751
           I yield back.
1752
           Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman yields back.
           Now recognize Mr. Harper for 5 minutes.
1753
1754
           Mr. {Harper.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And, Mr. Chao,
1755
      thank you for your time here today.
1756
           And you replied earlier on a follow-up question that the
1757
      Chairman had, I believe you said you would have liked to have
1758
     had more time for the testing. Did you request more time
1759
      from anyone?
1760
          Mr. {Chao.} No.
1761
           Mr. {Harper.} And can you tell me why you did not
1762
      request more time?
1763
           Mr. {Chao.} Because I was given a target of October 1
1764
     and various other deliver dates, of which I had to stay on
1765
      schedule for.
1766
           Mr. {Harper.} Did you believe it was ready for October
1767
      1?
1768
           Mr. {Chao.} I believe we did everything we could to
     make sure that the right priorities were set so that we could
1769
1770
      deliver a system on October 1.
1771
           Mr. {Harper.} And do you believe the system was
1772
      delivered on October 1?
```

```
1773
          Mr. {Chao.} It was.
1774
          Mr. {Harper.} Do you believe--
1775
          Mr. {Chao.} It wasn't performing as well as we liked,
1776
      and certainly had more glitches than we anticipated, but we
1777
     did deliver a system on October 1.
1778
           Mr. {Harper.} Do you think glitches is the proper word
1779
      to use to describe the rollout?
1780
          Mr. {Chao.} I think there are problems. There are
1781
     defects if you--you know, glitches is just a word that is
1782
     commonly used right now.
1783
          Mr. {Harper.} Well, glitches doesn't seem to convey how
1784
      serious the failure of the rollout has been, and so here we
1785
     are. And, of course, one of the big concerns that we have is
1786
     what do you do about making sure that personally-identifiable
1787
      information for those who sign up is protected. And on the
1788
      report that you have there, on page 11, if I could get you to
1789
      take a look at that real quick. On the McKinsey report. At
1790
      the bottom of page 11 it says--and, of course, at the top it
1791
      says, options that could be implemented to help mitigate key
1792
      risks. At the bottom it says, name a single implementation
1793
      leader and implement associated government process.
1794
      there been a single implementation leader named?
1795
          Mr. {Chao.} I don't think that is the way it has been
```

1796 characterized before by, I think, Marilyn Tavenner, our 1797 administrator, certainly has accepted accountability and she 1798 does run the agency and--Mr. {Harper.} Certainly, but that is not saying that 1799 1800 she is supposed to be the single implementation leader there. 1801 Is that how you read that report? 1802 Mr. {Chao.} I--but again, I didn't see this until just 1803 this very minute, so I--1804 Mr. {Harper.} All right, when--you know, I spent some 1805 time here while we were waiting on time to question here, I 1806 went to the Healthcare.gov site, and it took a little while 1807 to try to figure out how in the search to get to the information on how you protect yourself from fraud in the 1808 1809 health insurance marketplace. And if you--and it takes a 1810 couple of steps to get to this information. So people 1811 probably more sophisticated than I am on this would need to 1812 be tracking this. But if you look at it on the site, it says 1813 how to report suspected fraud, and it said you can report 1814 suspected fraud in one of two ways, and it lists a breakdown 1815 of one way, which is to use the Federal Trade Commission's 1816 online complaint assistant. And I tried that a moment ago 1817 and it was not very successful. It says you can call your 1818 local police department, and then it says you can visit a

- 1819 site, the Federal Trade Commission, to learn more about
- 1820 identity theft. And the second choice is to call the Health
- 1821 Insurance Marketplace Call Center, and it gives that number.
- 1822 So if you were the victim of personally-identifiable
- 1823 information being fraudulently released or obtained, who
- 1824 would you call first under that scenario?
- 1825 Mr. {Chao.} The listed call center number. The
- 1826 marketplace call center.
- 1827 Mr. {Harper.} And it--
- 1828 Mr. {Chao.} If you are in a Federally-facilitated
- 1829 Marketplace.
- 1830 Mr. {Harper.} Okay, and it says, explain what happened
- 1831 and your information will be handled appropriately. How do
- 1832 you define handled appropriately? What is that? How do you
- 1833 get someone's identity back once it has been compromised or
- 1834 there has been an identity theft?
- 1835 Mr. {Chao.} Well, I think there needs to be some
- 1836 analysis and collection of information to make sure what type
- 1837 of situation occurred, and then make a decision going forward
- 1838 there.
- 1839 Mr. {Harper.} Well, obviously, this is a critical
- 1840 matter, so some determination made. What is the time frame?
- 1841 How quickly can someone's life be put back together if this

```
1842
     were to happen?
1843
           Mr. {Chao.} I think it is situationally-dependent, and
1844
      I really can't--I am not comfortable--
1845
           Mr. {Harper.} Sure.
1846
           Mr. {Chao.} --giving you an answer right off--
1847
           Mr. {Harper.} You had said earlier that steps were
1848
     being taken to prevent unauthorized access to the site. What
1849
      about those who may have authorized access but release it in
1850
     an unauthorized manner, what protections or safeguards put in
1851
      there particularly for those that are the navigators, and the
1852
     situation that there has been no background check, unless it
1853
     was required in the state, how is that being handled with the
1854
     use of navigators?
1855
           Mr. {Chao.} I think the premise is that when we issue,
1856
      for example, a grant to a navigator organization, or we sign
1857
     a computer matching agreement with a state, that there are
     rules of behavior and certain, you know, kind of requirements
1858
1859
     that are associated with signing that agreement or receiving
1860
      that grant.
1861
           Mr. {Harper.} Do you have a central reporting location
1862
     of the navigators that are in violation or reported in
1863
     violation?
1864
           Mr. {Chao.} I have to check on that.
```

```
1865
          Mr. {Harper.} My time has--
1866
          Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman's time has expired.
1867
           Mr. {Harper.} You let us know. My time has expired.
1868
          Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.
1869
           Mr. Lujan is recognized for 5 minutes.
1870
          Mr. {Lujan.} Mr. Chairman, thank you so very much.
1871
          Mr. Chao, you were just presented with a whole series of
1872
     hypotheticals. Have any of those hypotheticals happened?
1873
          Mr. {Chao.} No, not to our knowledge, no.
1874
          Mr. {Lujan.} I appreciate that, and I would suggest,
1875
     Mr. Chao, if someone was maliciously using information in a
1876
     way that they were not allowed to use it, would that be a
1877
     crime?
1878
           Mr. {Chao.} Can you repeat that guestion again?
1879
          Mr. {Lujan.} If someone hacked into the Web site, and
1880
     was using information in a way that they weren't allowed to
1881
     use it, so--and anyway, wouldn't that be considered a crime?
1882
           Mr. {Chao.} Certainly, yes.
1883
          Mr. {Lujan.} And I believe that we could fully
1884
     prosecute those individuals?
1885
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
1886
           Mr. {Lujan.} And I would hope that this committee would
1887
      fully support and encourage the Department of Justice to go
```

1888 and fully prosecute anyone that is hacking this Web site. 1889 Mr. Chairman, it wasn't too long ago that there was a 1890 hearing that this committee had on Lifeline, and there was--1891 some of my Republican colleagues were encouraging members-citizens of the United States to go to visit Obamaphone.net 1892 1893 to sign up for a Lifeline or to get information from a -- from 1894 the Web site as to the accuracy of what the program was 1895 about. An hour later, the Web site was taken down, and this 1896 committee, myself and Congresswoman Eshoo asked the FTC to 1897 look into the matter, but they said it appears that in the 1898 fraudulent way that this data was being collected, that the 1899 Web site is now down. 1900 I think we as members of Congress need to be careful 1901 with how we are purporting information out to the American people. We need to be careful about this. There is not, 1902 1903 again, a member on this committee that doesn't believe that 1904 we should get the Web site working, that we need to get to 1905 the facts of what is happening. And with that being said, 1906 Mr. Chao, I guess two things. Mr. Chairman, there is GAO 1907 report that was published on April 24 of 2012, entitled Cyber 1908 Security, Threats Impacting the Nation, and I would like to 1909 ask unanimous consent to insert it into the record. 1910 Mr. {Murphy.} Sure.

1911 Mr. {Lujan.} The report, and I would invite everyone in the committee to take a look at this. It was to the Homeland 1912 1913 Security Department or committee, talking about the threats 1914 that our nation is facing. The intelligence community, 1915 Homeland Security, the Whitehouse, members of Congress Web 1916 sites that have been hacked into. We need to do more in this 1917 area to make sure that we are keeping information secure. 1918 But with that being said, Mr. Chao, this has been talked 1919 about a bit, but on the front page of The Washington Post 1920 this morning, there was an article about a document that was 1921 leaked to the paper by the committee majority. The article 1922 describes an analysis conducted in 2013 by McKinsey and 1923 Company that identified potential risks in the development of 1924 Healthcare.gov. The report shadowed some of the problems 1925 that we now face today. 1926 Mr. Chao, did you see the report at the time it was published in March and April of 2013? 1927 Mr. {Chao.} No, I did not. 1928 1929 M. {Lujan.} So is it fair to say that you are not the 1930 best person to comment on why the report was done, and how 1931 CMS and HHS responded to its findings?

Mr. {Lujan.} Mr. Chairman, I raise this because it

1932

1933

Mr. {Chao.} Yes.

1934 illustrates a number of problems with how this has been 1935 handled. In particular, the perception that is created when 1936 you withhold documents from the Democrats on the committee, 1937 and when you play gotcha games by leaking material to the 1938 press without context, it makes it appear that you are more 1939 interested in running a partisan investigation than in 1940 finding the facts, and I certainly hope that that is not the 1941 case, and believe that not to be true, but we need to work 1942 together to get to the bottom of this. 1943 So with that being said, Mr. Chao, what efforts is the 1944 Department of Health and Human Services undertaking to 1945 address the ongoing threats? 1946 Mr. {Chao.} We listed as part of our mitigation 1947 strategy daily and weekly security testing and scans, which 1948 is something we always do, but in this case we do it more 1949 frequently because we understand the sensitive nature of 1950 Healthcare.gov and the trust that -- and confidence we have to 1951 obtain from people to come and use the site. 1952 Mr. {Lujan.} And how is the department coordinating with other federal agencies who maintain Web sites that also 1953 1954 gather personal information? 1955 Mr. {Chao.} I think we work with all of our key 1956 partners that are connected to the Hub to make sure that we

1957 function under what we call a harmonized privacy and security 1958 framework, and along with the states, have a process and a 1959 program in place to handle certain situations of which there 1960 are incidents that need to be managed, about potential data 1961 breaches. So we have a program, we have a policy, we have a 1962 set of operational procedures in place, work--and 1963 coordinating across all these agencies. 1964 Mr. {Lujan.} And does that include, Mr. Chao, the intelligence community, the Department of Homeland Security? 1965 1966 Mr. {Chao.} Yes. 1967 Mr. {Lujan.} Very good. 1968 So with that, Mr. Chairman, as I yield back my time, I just hope that it is clear, Mr. Chao, to you, to the 1969 1970 President, that we are not happy with the rollout right now. 1971 We need to get this working. There are too many vulnerable 1972 Americans that need access to care, and we need to make sure 1973 that we can get them that coverage, in the same way, protect 1974 the information. But I think it is a big step forward that 1975 no longer will individuals have to report the kind of 1976 illnesses or accidents that they have had in their past, so 1977 that they can get care in the future. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I yield back. 1978

Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman yields back.

1979

1980	And without objection, the gentleman's document will k	эе
1981	admitted to the record.	
1982	[The information follows:]	
1983	********* COMMITTEE INSERT *********	

1984 Mr. {Murphy.} Now the Chair now recognizes the 1985 gentleman from Colorado, Mr. Gardner, for 5 minutes. 1986 Mr. {Gardner.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, 1987 Mr. Chao, for your time before the committee today. 1988 Last week, the President met with several 1989 representatives of the insurance industry to discuss 1990 solutions that may be possible in light of the Healthcare.gov 1991 debacle. Have you had any conversations about changes you 1992 can make to Healthcare.gov to assist the insurance industry? 1993 Mr. {Chao.} I think part of the strategy--I haven't 1994 spoken to the issues myself or been part of those meetings, 1995 but I think as part of the strategy under Jeff Zients is to 1996 improve the experience of consumers, but that involves, you know, key third parties that are also key to this equation of 1997 1998 getting around those agents and brokers, and working with 1999 issuers to fix, you know, certain aspects of the systems to 2000 make it work better. 2001 Mr. {Gardner.} So have you had any discussions then 2002 about providing insurance companies with the ability to 2003 directly enroll, or anybody in your agency department? 2004 Mr. {Chao.} We had designed a--something called direct 2005 enrollment into Healthcare.gov, or part of that FFM system

```
2006
     architecture to accommodate that.
2007
           Mr. {Gardner.} And so that is ready--that feature has
2008
     been turned on or it has not been turned on?
2009
          Mr. {Chao.} It was not working well initially, like
2010
     many other things, but we have been performing fixes and
2011
      optimizing it, and working with issuers to get direct
2012
     enrollment up.
2013
          Mr. {Gardner.} So have you had any discussions about
2014
     giving insurers direct access to information on eligibility
2015
      for subsidies?
2016
          Mr. {Chao.} Only at--in terms of the result. There is
2017
     a series of--
2018
          Mr. {Gardner.} That is a--
2019
           Mr. {Chao.} --security and of handoffs.
2020
          Mr. {Gardner.} --yes--
2021
          Mr. {Chao.} Right.
          Mr. {Gardner.} That is a yes then?
2022
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
2023
2024
          Mr. {Gardner.} Okay. Thank you for that.
           Do you--going back to the guestion then about the
2025
2026
      feature on the Web site, will that happen in the future then
2027
     to that question, discussions about giving insurers direct
     access to information on eligibility for subsidies? Do you
2028
```

```
2029
     believe that will happen in the future?
2030
           Mr. {Chao.} It is not really direct access, it is more
2031
     of a hand-off, a secure hand-off in which they have collected
2032
      enough information about the applicant and their, you know,
2033
      or an agent and broker, and this person has given
2034
     authorization for a consent to work with them as a third
2035
     party.
2036
          Mr. {Gardner.} So that is a yes then again as well?
2037
          Mr. {Chao.} It is not access direct to eligibility
2038
      data, it is a more involved process that protects the
2039
     person's information.
2040
           Mr. {Gardner.} But they will be getting the--the
      insurance company will be getting the subsidy access?
2041
2042
           Mr. {Chao.} They don't get to calculate it. We--that
2043
      is a marketplace--
2044
           Mr. {Gardner.} But they will have information on the
2045
      eligibility for the subsidies directly?
2046
           Mr. {Chao.} Only as a result of the marketplace
2047
     handling that data, not touching that eligibility data
2048
      themselves.
2049
          Mr. {Gardner.} When--the committee has been reviewing
     materials that indicates that some parts of Healthcare.gov
2050
2051
     were not completed before the launch, as we have discussed
```

- 2052 here. What portion or percentage of the Web site remained to
- 2053 be created when you launched on October 1?
- 2054 Mr. {Chao.} I don't have an exact percentage. I think
- 2055 some of previous conversations when people ask about whether
- 2056 things were complete, I look at it in terms of overall
- 2057 marketplace systems--
- 2058 Mr. {Gardner.} So you have never talked about what is
- 2059 complete, what is not complete, whether it is--how much to
- 2060 go?
- 2061 Mr. {Chao.} I think it was a set of priority functions
- 2062 that needed to be in place. Like, for example, you had to
- 2063 authenticate an individual. That is a key function that had
- 2064 to be done.
- 2065 Mr. {Gardner.} Well, how much do we have to build today
- 2066 still? I mean what do we need to build, 50 percent, 40
- 2067 percent, 30 percent?
- 2068 Mr. {Chao.} I think it is, just an approximation, we
- 2069 are probably sitting somewhere between 60 and 70 percent,
- 2070 because we still have to build the system--
- 2071 Mr. {Gardner.} But 60 or 70 percent that needs to be
- 2072 built still?
- 2073 Mr. {Chao.} Because we still have to build the payment
- 2074 systems to make payments to issuers in January.

```
2075
          Mr. {Gardner.} So it is--let me get this correct, 60 to
2076
     70 percent of Healthcare.gov still needs to be built?
2077
          Mr. {Chao.} It is not really Healthcare.gov; it is the
2078
      Federally-facilitated Marketplace--
2079
           Mr. {Gardner.} But the entire system that the American
     people are being required to rely upon--
2080
2081
          Mr. {Chao.} That part is there.
2082
          Mr. {Gardner.} --60 to 70 percent--
          Mr. {Chao.} Healthcare.gov, the online application,
2083
2084
     verification, determination--
2085
          Mr. {Gardner.} That is--
          Mr. {Chao.} --plan compare, getting enrolled,
2086
     generating enrollment transaction, that is 100 percent there.
2087
2088
     What I am talking about is--
2089
          Mr. {Gardner.} But the entire system is 60 to 70
2090
     percent away from being complete?
2091
           Mr. {Chao.} Yeah, there is the back office systems, the
2092
      accounting systems, the--
2093
          Mr. {Gardner.} Thank--
           Mr. {Chao.} --payment systems--
2094
2095
          Mr. {Gardner.} Thank you for that.
2096
          Mr. {Chao.} --they still need to be--
2097
          Mr. {Gardner.} And how--of those 60 to 70 percent of
```

```
2098
      systems that are still being built, how are they going to be
2099
      tested?
2100
           Mr. {Chao.} You mean the remaining--
2101
           Mr. {Gardner.} Yes.
2102
           Mr. {Chao.} --30 to 40 percent? How are they going to
2103
     be tested?
2104
           Mr. {Gardner.} Yes.
2105
           Mr. {Chao.} In the same exact manner we tested
2106
      everything else.
2107
           Mr. {Gardner.} Is it difficult to review the new parts
2108
     of the website while it is operating?
2109
           Mr. {Chao.} It won't affect the front end--the front
2110
     part--
2111
           Mr. {Gardner.} But that is pretty difficult, isn't it?
2112
           Mr. {Chao.} Excuse me?
2113
           Mr. {Gardner.} It is pretty difficult to review it
2114
     while it is in operation, correct?
2115
           Mr. {Chao.} No, it doesn't involve the front part.
2116
      The--
           Mr. {Gardner.} Right, but where it is operating within-
2117
2118
2119
           Mr. {Chao.} --eligibility--when we are trying to
2120
      calculate a payment, derive a payment, do data matches on the
```

- 2121 back end, that doesn't affect the Healthcare.gov operations.
- 2122 Mr. {Gardner.} How long will you have to test those
- 2123 parts that you are building?
- 2124 Mr. {Chao.} They are an ongoing basis. Depends on
- 2125 their build schedule.
- 2126 Mr. {Gardner.} So is it appropriate, given the
- 2127 performance of Healthcare.gov where we are at right now, to
- 2128 launch any new applications or features without testing them
- 2129 heavily before they go live?
- 2130 Mr. {Chao.} We are testing.
- 2131 Mr. {Gardner.} Mr. Chairman, I have several other
- 2132 questions and will follow up with you, but thank you for your
- 2133 time.
- 2134 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.
- Now recognize Mr. Welch for 5 minutes.
- 2136 Mr. {Welch.} Thank you very much. Thank you for the
- 2137 hearing.
- 2138 There is a mutual desire to get this thing to work, and
- 2139 there are really two models that we can use to deal with the
- 2140 failure rollout. One is to fix it, and the other is to use
- 2141 it as fodder to re-litigate the battle about whether
- 2142 healthcare is the law of the land. And my hope is that we
- 2143 are past that. There is an absolute urgency to make things

- 2144 work, and I know, Mr. Chao, that is your job, and I just want
- 2145 to put this into context. We had a big battle in this
- 2146 Congress, I was not here, over the passage of Medicare Part
- 2147 D. It was a largely partisan vote. The Republicans, under
- 2148 George Bush, were for it, most of the Democrats were against
- 2149 it, but it passed in a very close, tense vote. And my
- 2150 understanding is that as it then went into the implementation
- 2151 phase which required a computer program and a Web site, there
- 2152 were lots of significant difficulties with that program, and
- 2153 there were concerns about having it work.
- 2154 And I just want to ask you a little bit about that
- 2155 history, so that we have a context for the challenges we have
- 2156 today, not at all as an excuse because there is real unity
- 2157 about needing to get this fixed, but is the actions we take--
- 2158 are the actions we take about getting it fixed or about
- 2159 trying to derail and scuttle the overall healthcare program.
- 2160 America is going to have to judge.
- 2161 But can you give us a sense what was going on inside the
- 2162 Agency when you were preparing the Medicare Part D Web site
- 2163 in 2005, and were these--were there concerns and issues that
- 2164 needed to be addressed then?
- 2165 Mr. {Chao.} The biggest and most prominent example that
- 2166 I can recall was the concern around auto-assignment and auto-

2167 enrolling Medicare--Medicaid full benefit dual eligibles to 2168 receive a Part D prescription drug benefit, and switching 2169 them over as of January 1, and that we had sent these 2170 enrollment files out to the plans--the health plans or Part D 2171 sponsors, around November, and in December it was some 2172 realization, you know, last minute realization that 2173 pharmacists and pharmacies were--who were on the frontline of 2174 helping these beneficiaries, required, you know, some access 2175 to information to help them navigate this new change. So as 2176 an example, we scrambled and we developed a method for 2177 pharmacies to actually get access through authorizations to 2178 Medicare enrollment data for the dual eligibles that were 2179 enrolled so that, at point of sale, they can at least do 2180 things such as, you know, three day fills--2181 Mr. {Welch.} Right. 2182 Mr. {Chao.} --just to figure out what plan they might 2183 be in. And, you know, that is just an example. I recall 2184 that was mass scramble, time crunch, had to get it in place, 2185 lots of, you know, working around the clock, lots of urgency, 2186 pushing many, many people, not just on the contractor and the 2187 staff side, but working with the prescription drug industry 2188 as a whole, including pharmacists, to make this happen. 2189 Mr. {Welch.} All right, and those problems continued

- 2190 even after the January 1 rollout date, my understanding.
- 2191 Mr. {Chao.} Correct, because it is not perfected. It
- 2192 is--it is not so much a technical issue, when you introduce a
- 2193 new business process, for example, in a procedures, you know,
- 2194 in an administrative aspect of healthcare, it takes a while
- 2195 for people to actually understand how that works, you know,
- 2196 as compared to learning the data system that is involved to
- 2197 support that business process. So it is a--it is more than
- 2198 just a technical issue.
- 2199 Mr. {Welch.} Okay, and is it your view that, as we
- 2200 ultimately succeeded with Part D, we can ultimately succeed
- 2201 in terms of the technical Web site issues with
- 2202 Healthcare.gov?
- 2203 Mr. {Chao.} Certainly. I think it comes with being
- 2204 focused and driven to get at the root of the problem and to
- 2205 fix the systems, because on the technical issue side, it is
- 2206 solvable, very solvable, and we have shown that it has made
- 2207 improvements.
- 2208 Mr. {Welch.} Okay, thank you very much.
- 2209 I yield back.
- 2210 Mr. {Murphy.} Gentleman yields back.
- Now recognize for 5 minutes the gentleman from Virginia,
- 2212 Mr. Griffith.

2213 Mr. {Griffith.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2214 Now, speaking of Medicare Part D, no one was required by 2215 law or force of penalty to subscribe to that, isn't that 2216 correct? 2217 Mr. {Chao.} No, but we did auto-assign, auto-enroll 2218 Medicare--Medicaid dual eligibles into Medicare Part D. 2219 Mr. {Griffith.} But it is a different animal than what 2220 we are dealing with now because a lot of Americans are being 2221 told they can't have their insurance so they are going to 2222 have to sign up through the Exchanges. So I do appreciate 2223 that, but there is a difference. 2224 You know, one of the things that when you get time today to look at the report, and I think it is a symptom of the 2225 2226 problems that this Web site has had, is that you were not 2227 included in the briefings on the report that has come to 2228 light in the last 24 hours, but when you get a chance to read 2229 that, one of the things you will see is they thought there 2230 ought to be one person overseeing all of the different parts. 2231 And listening to the vendors who previously testified before 2232 this committee, it looked like they were each building their 2233 own part and then, in the last month, they had to squeeze it all together in the last two weeks, things were changing. 2234 2235 Another part of that report shows us that on a timeline,

- 2236 you really want to have your--the--you want to define your 2237 policy requirements prior to finishing the design and 2238 starting the build. Wouldn't you agree with that? 2239 Mr. {Chao.} That is the logical thing to do. 2240 Mr. {Griffith.} It is the logical thing to do, but in 2241 reality, we have heard testimony in this committee that they 2242 were changing policy, we know the big change on July the 2nd 2243 when all of a sudden the employer mandate was allegedly 2244 delayed -- the President signed an executive order, I am not 2245 sure it has legal authority, but he did that, delayed that 2246 employer mandate. Further, we know from testimony that there 2247 were changes being made as close to the launch as 2 weeks 2248 before. So based on that, it is -- it would be the logical 2249 conclusion that you are going to have significant problems, 2250 wouldn't it? 2251 Mr. {Chao.} With the luxury of hindsight, I can see 2252 that, you know, there are contributors to the way the system performed when it was unveiled, but that is not--2253 2254 Mr. {Griffith.} Well, if you--Mr. {Chao.} But that is not, you know, I need to focus 2255 2256 on fixing this thing.
- 2257 Mr. {Griffith.} And I know that is your focus is to fix 2258 it now, but also when you take a look at it, when you are

2259 still defining your policy requirements as late as two weeks 2260 prior to launch, it is very difficult to design and then to 2261 build and then to test a system and have it work, whether it 2262 is the security component or the performance component. It 2263 would be logical to do it in the proper order. When you do 2264 the illogical, you are liable to have problems. And I know you would agree with that, if you were free to answer 2265 2266 honestly. And I would say to you that I also noticed that 2267 they never--nobody--no one person was ever appointed to head 2268 this up while you were in charge of part of it, and you are 2269 in charge of making part of it work. It looks like there are 2270 at least six different representatives from different 2271 agencies that had a hand in overseeing what was going on, and 2272 no one had control over the others, isn't that correct? 2273 Mr. {Chao.} I think it was a governance committee that 2274 was formed. 2275 Mr. {Griffith.} A governance committee. And--isn't 2276 that interesting. And sometimes when you are trying to 2277 launch a big project like this though, you have to have one 2278 general in charge of the operation. Wouldn't that be 2279 logical? 2280 Mr. {Chao.} I would say that for the technical pieces, 2281 you know, I was responsible for making sure that the

```
2282
      technical pieces were--
2283
           Mr. {Griffith.} All right.
           Mr. {Chao.} --organized.
2284
2285
           Mr. {Griffith.} And last month, this committee
2286
     uncovered a September 27 memorandum indicating that
2287
     Healthcare.gov launched without a full security control
2288
     assessment. Administrator Tavenner had to attest that she
2289
     was aware that the launch carried security risks. Can you
2290
     tell us what those risks are specifically?
2291
           Mr. {Chao.} I--first of all, I think the incomplete
2292
      testing--it was fully security tested through 3 rounds of
2293
      testing so that when we--when Marilyn Tavenner signed the
2294
     authority to operate on September 27, it had no high findings
2295
      and had gone through the appropriate security tests.
2296
           Mr. {Griffith.} So you didn't--so what she said was not
2297
     accurate, that it had a--did not have a full security control
2298
      assessment, she was mistaken when she testified in front of
2299
     us on that?
2300
           Mr. {Chao.} I think there is a part of that sentence
2301
      that might be -- it needs clarification. I think what we were
2302
      trying to say was that the security control assessment was
2303
     not tested for a full entire system of which we were still--
2304
      remember, I--we are still building financial management
```

- 2305 aspects of it. I think it was just an acknowledgement that
- 2306 the--100 percent of the system was not complete at that time.
- 2307 Mr. {Griffith.} Okay, and it is still not complete
- 2308 today, and the people of America want to know, you know, what
- 2309 is the security going to be--
- 2310 Mr. {Chao.} Well--
- 2311 Mr. {Griffith.} --if it is not completed on January 1.
- 2312 Mr. {Chao.} The October 1 pieces that were necessary,
- 2313 such as ensuring security privacy for those functions that I
- 2314 mentioned, were tested.
- 2315 Mr. {Griffith.} Okay, and I appreciate that, but what
- 2316 can we expect on January 1?
- 2317 I apologize, I yield back.
- 2318 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. And by the way, our prayers
- 2319 are with the family of State Senator Creigh in Virginia who
- 2320 is, I guess, in critical condition.
- 2321 Mr. {Griffith.} If I might--
- 2322 Mr. {Murphy.} Right.
- 2323 Mr. {Griffith.} --take a--since you bring it up. If I
- 2324 might take a moment of personal privilege. I do appreciate
- 2325 your prayers. Creigh and I were in opposite parties, but
- 2326 just like on this committee, you form friendships. And he
- 2327 served with me in that Virginia House of Delegates before he

- 2328 went on to the Senate and went on to run for other offices.
- 2329 But he still is sitting Senator, and it obviously has shaken
- 2330 everybody in Virginia. And he is a good man and our prayers
- 2331 are with him, and I encourage everybody to say a prayer for
- 2332 Senator Deeds and his family.
- 2333 Mr. {Murphy.} I thank the gentleman.
- Now turning to Mr. Tonko for 5 minutes.
- 2335 Mr. {Tonko.} Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 2336 I would like to continue on that recent questioning of
- 2337 the document that my Republican colleagues have released.
- 2338 Mr. Chao, this document was signed, I believe, on
- 2339 September 27, and it is an ATO, an authority to operate,
- 2340 memorandum to operate the Federally-facilitated Marketplace
- 2341 for 6 months, and implement a security mitigation plan.
- 2342 Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
- 2343 Mr. {Tonko.} Can you tell us, are ATO's commonly used
- 2344 in federal data systems?
- 2345 Mr. {Chao.} Yes. It is the, in essence, the last
- 2346 official sign-off to authorize a federal system to go into
- 2347 operations.
- 2348 Mr. {Tonko.} Thank you. And can you tell us why
- 2349 Administrator Tavenner signed this ATO rather than, well,
- 2350 perhaps other officials that might report to the

```
2351
     administrator?
2352
           Mr. {Chao.} I think the span of the stakeholders that
2353
     were involved across the Agency has--we had not had a system
2354
      that had this unprecedented involvement of so many different
2355
      components, so that the recommendation by our chief
2356
      information officer was to make a recommendation for the
2357
      administrator to actually sign off on this, because she runs
2358
      the entire agency.
2359
           Mr. {Tonko.} And the fact that she signed it is good
2360
      news? It is an indication, I would believe, that officials
2361
      at the highest level of CMS were briefed on and taking
2362
      responsibility for site security?
           Mr. {Chao.} Correct, yes.
2363
2364
           Mr. {Tonko.} Now, as I understand it, this document
2365
      describes security testing for the Healthcare.gov Web site.
2366
      It says that security testing of the marketplace was ongoing
      since inception and into September 2013. In fact, it says
2367
      that, and I quote, ``throughout the 3 rounds of security
2368
2369
      control assessment testing, all of the security controls have
2370
     been tested on different versions of this system.'' Is that
2371
     correct?
2372
           Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
2373
           Mr. {Tonko.} But the document goes on to say that
```

```
2374
     because of system readiness, a complete security assessment
2375
     of all the security controls in one complete version of the
2376
      system was not performed. It says that this lack of testing,
      and I quote, ``exposed a level of uncertainty that could be
2377
2378
      deemed as a high risk."
2379
           Mr. {Chao.} I didn't actually--I had recommended as
2380
     part of that decision memo and I think at that time, as I
2381
     mentioned earlier, you know, it is semantics, you know, not
2382
      100 percent of the system is built so you can't really
2383
     consciously say you have it all available in one place to
2384
      fully test, because not everything was needed for October 1.
2385
     Only essential pieces involving Healthcare.gov were tested
2386
      for security.
2387
           Mr. {Tonko.} So the document then indicated that CMS
2388
     postponed a final security assessment screening, right, and
2389
      the--in its place, CMS did put in place a number of
     mitigation measures. And it concluded that these measures
2390
2391
     would mitigate the security risks.
2392
           I want to take a moment to ask you about the September
2393
     27 ATO, and how the risks identified are being addressed.
2394
      Can you describe their recommendations in that September 27
2395
     memo?
```

2396 Mr. {Chao.} You mean in terms of mitigations?

```
2397
          Mr. {Tonko.} Yes.
2398
          Mr. {Chao.} Okay, so on a daily basis, we run antivirus
2399
      scans every 3 minutes, malware scans every 3 minutes, data
2400
      full monitoring is a continuous effort, threat protection
2401
      analysis against known bad IP's or hackers, I mentioned that
2402
      in my opening remarks that it is continuous. On a weekly
2403
     basis, we monitor operating system compliance, infrastructure
2404
      system compliance, we conduct penetration testing,
2405
      authenticated and unauthenticated, by marketplace security
2406
      teams. We have a 24 by 7 security operations team.
2407
     conduct additional penetration testing, authenticated and
2408
     unauthenticated, by another group of security professionals
2409
      in CMS that report under our chief of information security
2410
      officer. We also conduct application software assurance
2411
      testing, which is occurring biweekly. And on a monthly
2412
     basis, we produce a plan of actions and milestones that keeps
2413
      track and reports on any discovered weaknesses during all of
2414
     this monitoring.
2415
           Mr. {Tonko.} So CMS is taking action that was
2416
      recommended in the ATO?
2417
          Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
2418
           Mr. {Tonko.} And do you have confidence in these and
2419
      other measures you are taking to protect the security of
```

```
2420 Americans' personal information?
```

- 2421 Mr. {Chao.} I have high confidence.
- 2422 Mr. {Tonko.} Okay. As it--I understand it here, the
- 2423 remedial actions and the ongoing security testing are
- 2424 protecting the security of the Web site.
- 2425 Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
- 2426 Mr. {Tonko.} And so perhaps the message coming from my
- 2427 Republican colleagues is that they do not want the Web site
- 2428 to work, and that they want to scare people from going on the
- 2429 Web site, when, in fact, we are hearing that security has
- 2430 been provided for.
- 2431 Mr. {Chao.} I think we have gone over and above,
- 2432 because we are very sensitive and we appreciate the
- 2433 nervousness around this new program with peoples'
- 2434 information.
- 2435 Mr. {Tonko.} Well, we appreciate you building the
- 2436 security of the Web site, and responding to the actions
- 2437 recommended in the ATO memo.
- 2438 Thank you so much. I yield back.
- 2439 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Gentleman's time has expired.
- Now recognize the gentleman from Ohio, Mr. Johnson, for
- 2441 5 minutes.
- 2442 Mr. {Johnson.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2443 Mr. Chao, I spent 30 years in information technology as-2444 -I have been the chief information officer of publicly-traded 2445 companies, as well as the director of the CIO staff at U.S. 2446 Special Operations Command, and I know the pressures that 2447 delivering on a system of this complexity, I know the 2448 pressures that are there. 2449 I assume that you and I have a common goal here today, 2450 and that is to make sure that the American people hear the 2451 truth. Is that an accurate statement? 2452 Mr. {Chao.} That is correct. 2453 Mr. {Johnson.} Okay. Given that then, would it be okay if you and I have an understanding, because this is two IT 2454 guys talking to one another. If I ask you a question that 2455 2456 you don't understand, would you ask me for clarification so 2457 that we can get to the bottom of it, because we want to dig 2458 down in here into some things that are pertinent? 2459 Mr. {Chao.} Yes, sir. 2460 Mr. {Johnson.} Okay, great. You know, under FISMA, agencies operating IT systems are required to establish 2461 2462 security baselines, incorporate them into applications and 2463 networks, and test them to see that they are incorporated 2464 correctly. The use and review of this testing plan is 2465 typically known as a security control assessment. Several of

```
2466
     the security control assessments for Healthcare.gov were
2467
     either not completed or otherwise ignored.
2468
           So are you familiar with the four security control
2469
      assessments that were completed on the various aspects of the
2470
     Federally-facilitated Marketplaces?
2471
           Mr. {Chao.} Not in intricate detail, but I think I--
2472
      going back to what you said about ignored or missed, I think
2473
      the most important thing to remember is that on September--
2474
           Mr. {Johnson.} Are you familiar with those security
2475
     control assessments?
2476
          Mr. {Chao.} I--
2477
           Mr. {Johnson.} Have you seen or read them?
2478
           Mr. {Chao.} I have read the most important one, that is
2479
     the one--
2480
           Mr. {Johnson.} Have you read all four of them?
2481
           Mr. {Chao.} No, not all four.
           Mr. {Johnson.} Okay, could you turn to tab 4 of the
2482
2483
      document binder that you have in front of you? This is the
2484
      security control assessment completed on October 11, 2013.
     Are you familiar with the findings of this security control
2485
2486
     assessment?
2487
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
```

Mr. {Johnson.} Okay. You testified a little earlier

2488

- 2489 that it was your opinion, based on what you knew at the time,
- 2490 that the security control assessments--that security had been
- 2491 adequately addressed when Administrator Tavenner signed the
- 2492 document authorizing the operation of the Web site. Is that
- 2493 correct?
- 2494 Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
- 2495 Mr. {Johnson.} But yet you just testified that you were
- 2496 not aware and you didn't read the security control
- 2497 assessment, so how can you make that assertion that security
- 2498 had been adequately addressed when you hadn't even read the
- 2499 control assessments yourself?
- 2500 Mr. {Chao.} I am thinking that there might be some
- 2501 mismatch in versions here. Yours says final report October
- 2502 11 for Health Insurance Exchange August through September
- 2503 2013, SCA report. I have the fairly facilitated marketplace
- 2504 decision security part--
- 2505 Mr. {Johnson.} Well, I am talking about the one in your
- 2506 tab there.
- 2507 {Voice.} Excuse me, can we ask the witness to speak up
- 2508 a little bit? I am having difficulty hearing him.
- 2509 Mr. {Chao.} I am sorry.
- 2510 Mr. {Johnson.} But I have got to move on because I
- 2511 don't have time to look through the binder.

- 2512 Who develops the scope of a security control assessment
- 2513 before the contractor performs it?
- 2514 Mr. {Chao.} We have independent contractors that design
- 2515 our SCA testing.
- 2516 Mr. {Johnson.} Do you need an application like the Data
- 2517 Services Hub or the Web site to be complete in order to test
- 2518 it for purposes of a control--security control assessment?
- 2519 Mr. {Chao.} I think that depends on, you know, we don't
- 2520 like testing security--
- 2521 Mr. {Johnson.} Well, I can assure you that we don't.
- 2522 Mr. {Chao.} The--in terms of using live data, you know.
- 2523 So prior to going to production, we tend to conduct security-
- 2524 -
- 2525 Mr. {Johnson.} Well, let me ask you a question. Let us
- 2526 put up a slide. Are you familiar with the term sequel
- 2527 injection?
- 2528 Mr. {Chao.} Um-hum.
- 2529 Mr. {Johnson.} Okay. You know, sequel injection is a
- 2530 process that hackers use to gain access to sequel databases,
- 2531 relational databases, through a sequel. This is a screenshot
- 2532 directly off of Healthcare.gov that you see, if you put a
- 2533 semicolon in the search box, you get all of those different
- 2534 breakdowns of sequel injection.

- 2535 Have--can you give me any idea how vigorous the testing
- 2536 was around sequel injection, and are you aware that users
- 2537 have--potential hackers have the capability to go in through
- 2538 sequel injection and manipulate these strings?
- 2539 Mr. {Chao.} I can't speak to the exact--that situation.
- 2540 I think some of the folks that are coming up behind me in the
- 2541 other panel might be able to specifically address--
- 2542 Mr. {Johnson.} I can assure you, Mr. Chairman, that I
- 2543 still have very serious concerns about the security aspects
- 2544 of this system.
- 2545 And with that, I yield back.
- 2546 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you. Gentleman's time has expired.
- Now recognize Ms. Schakowsky for 5 minutes.
- 2548 Ms. {Schakowsky.} I want to also focus on this
- 2549 particular system that the contractor, MITRE--I am here, Mr.
- 2550 Chao. Yeah, okay.
- 2551 Mr. {Chao.} Sorry.
- 2552 Ms. {Schakowsky.} We have heard this morning, we just
- 2553 heard, about the risks that the contract--contractor, MITRE,
- 2554 identified when it performed security control assessments for
- 2555 different components of Healthcare.gov. And at first glance,
- 2556 they can seem alarming, but my understanding is that all of
- 2557 these issues were mitigated for the functions on the Web site

2558 that launched on October 1. It is important to understand 2559 the general point of security testing, to identify any 2560 potential issues so they can be addressed before they 2561 became--become real problems. Asking MITRE to perform these 2562 assessments gives CMS and the contractors the opportunity to 2563 identify and resolve any security vulnerabilities before 2564 anyone's personal information could be put at risk. 2565 So, Mr. Chao, does that sound to you like an accurate description? Do the security control assessments involve an 2566 2567 iterative process where problems are identified and then 2568 mitigated? 2569 Mr. {Chao.} Yes, that is correctly characterized. Ms. {Schakowsky.} So, Mr. Chao, I want to walk through 2570 2571 some of these key security assessments to determine whether 2572 the high risks that MITRE identified have, in fact, been 2573 addressed. In January and February of 2013, MITRE performed a 2574 2575 security control assessment of EIDM, the account creation 2576 function on Healthcare.gov. According to the final report, MITRE identified several high-risk findings. 2577 2578 So, Mr. Chao, were these high-risk findings resolved and 2579 mitigated before the October 1 start of open enrollment in

2580

the federal marketplace?

```
2581
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes, they were.
2582
           Ms. {Schakowsky.} And the fact is that they were noted
2583
      in the--that fact is noted in the MITRE report.
2584
           Okay, so MITRE also performed a security control
2585
      assessment of the Data Services Hub in August 2013, and again
2586
      identified several high-risk findings. Were these findings
2587
      resolved and also mitigated before the October 1 launch?
2588
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes, and the Hub received authority to
2589
      operate in August.
2590
           Ms. {Schakowsky.} Yes, and the fact is that was--and
2591
      that fact was noted in the report.
2592
           I also want to discuss the security control assessment
      that MITRE performed over August and September 2013 for the
2593
2594
     Health Insurance Exchange. Mr. Chao, were all high risks
      identified in this assessment mitigated before October 1?
2595
2596
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
           Ms. {Schakowsky.} I thank you. And what your answers
2597
2598
      confirm is that the system worked. MITRE identified
2599
     potentially high risks--high security risks, and CMS made
```

The MITRE reports do not show a flawed system, they show that CMS conducted security control assessments to identify

2600

2601

problems.

sure that they were mitigated before they would become major

2604 problems, and then fixed those problems. And I hope that my 2605 Republican colleagues will keep these findings in mind when 2606 they talk about the security of Healthcare.gov. We don't 2607 want to alarm the public about security risks that have 2608 already been addressed by CMS and its contractors. It just 2609 seems to me that identifying risks that were named, it is important also to note that they were all fixed before the 2610 2611 launch on October 1. And I thank you very much for your 2612 testimony. 2613 I yield back. 2614 Mr. {Chao.} Thank you. Mr. {Murphy.} Gentlelady yields back. 2615 And now I recognize the gentlewoman from North Carolina, 2616 2617 Mrs. Ellmers, for 5 minutes. 2618 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank 2619 you, Mr. Chao, for being with us today. 2620 Mr. Chao, I have a question about the subsidies, and 2621 some questions about some miscalculations that could be 2622 happening on the Exchange. Press reports have indicated that some subsidies are being miscalculated. In fact, one 2623 2624 individual the President identified as a beneficiary of 2625 ObamaCare, now can't afford it. And, Mr. Chairman, I would

ask unanimous consent to submit an article from CNN to the

2626

2627	committee for the reportfor the record.
2628	[The information follows:]
2629	********* COMMITTEE INSERT *********

```
2630
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} The--okay. This is a single mom, has a
2631
      teenage son with ADHD, went on the Washington State Exchange,
2632
     had gotten an insurance quote for what she would pay at a
2633
      gold price. Then she received notification that it was
2634
      actually -- the quote was actually higher for a silver plan.
2635
     More confusion went on. Then even a cheaper plan at bronze
2636
      level for $324. So, in other words, she ended up paying a
2637
     lot more.
2638
           I guess in my questioning for you is, you know, is this
2639
     happening on the Healthcare.gov site or the federal
2640
     marketplace?
2641
          Mr. {Chao.} I think there are a lot of inputs to how
2642
      a--an advanced premium tax credit is calculated. A person
2643
     can come back and make some modifications to their income
2644
      levels, to their household composition. So--and Washington
      is a state-based marketplace, so I can't really speak--
2645
2646
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
          Mr. {Chao.} --for that particular case, but I think
2647
2648
      that Healthcare.gov allows people the flexibility to try
2649
      several ways--
2650
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
2651
          Mr. {Chao.} --to determine, you know, what their tax
```

```
2652
     credit is.
2653
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Okay, you know, and there again, I am
2654
      just going based off the article. It doesn't seem to be that
2655
      she had gone back to make any changes, it sounded to me like,
2656
     you know, there were miscalculations that she was notified
2657
      of. So again, my questioning is, is this happening in the
2658
     Federal Exchange?
2659
           Mr. {Chao.} I would need some specifics to be able to
2660
     answer that.
2661
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Okay.
2662
           Mr. {Chao.} I think that if anyone ever does have
      issues with believing that their subsidies were incorrectly
2663
     calculated, they could certainly call our call center to try
2664
2665
      to find out if it was correct or not.
2666
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} So that is basically, you know, I am
2667
      just asking how someone would address that, or how that would
     happen, if there were miscalculations then you could speak to
2668
2669
      someone personally and--
2670
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes, we have both the call center and what
     we call an eligibility support work--
2671
2672
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum. Do you know if this is what is
2673
     happening?
2674
          Mr. {Chao.} I--
```

```
2675
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Have you heard any reports of--
2676
           Mr. {Chao.} I think there are many calls to the call
2677
      center for many different reasons.
2678
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
2679
           Mr. {Chao.} I don't know exactly, you know, I can't
2680
      tell you there were 10 cases today or--
2681
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum, okay.
2682
           Mr. {Chao.} But if you--
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} CGI--well, we can move on. I
2683
2684
      appreciate that. CGI, the contractor responsible for
2685
     building Healthcare.gov, can you explain your role with them
      in the last weeks of September? Did you, you know, were you
2686
      in contact with them, were you working with them one-on-one,
2687
2688
      did you--were you in their office?
2689
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes, I actually--I moved down to Herndon
2690
      and lived in a hotel since September 10 to about the last
2691
     week of October--
2692
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
2693
           Mr. {Chao.} --and I worked at CGI almost every day.
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} So you were actually there in their
2694
2695
      offices, working out of their offices? Okay.
2696
           Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
2697
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} One of the things that--I have got
```

```
2698
      about a minute left on my time. The President announced a
2699
      tech surge to fix the Web site. Who is involved in that
2700
      surge?
2701
           Mr. {Chao.} There--Todd Park is involved--
2702
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum.
2703
           Mr. {Chao.} --and there are two fellows, one by the
2704
     name of Mikey Dickerson, and another by the name of Greg
2705
     Girshman.
2706
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Do you know about their compensation?
2707
     How are they being compensated?
2708
           Mr. {Chao.} I have no insight to that.
2709
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Um-hum. Do they have a contract or did
      they have to sign an agreement?
2710
2711
           Mr. {Chao.} I don't know.
2712
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} Who do these individuals report to?
2713
           Mr. {Chao.} I am not--actually, I am not sure who they
2714
     have a contract with, or whether if they--
2715
           Mrs. {Ellmers.} So--but you are in charge of the
2716
      technical component to Healthcare.gov, and they don't report
2717
     to you?
2718
           Mr. {Chao.} No, they are part of a tech surge team that
      is being led by Jeff Zients.
2719
2720
          Mrs. {Ellmers.} Okay.
```

```
2721 Mr. {Chao.} Right.
```

- 2722 Mrs. {Ellmers.} So Jeff Zients is really the person
- 2723 that they are reporting to?
- 2724 Mr. {Chao.} Right.
- 2725 Mrs. {Ellmers.} Okay, thank you very much.
- 2726 Mr. Chairman, my time has expired.
- 2727 Mr. {Murphy.} Gentlelady yields back.
- Now go to Mr. Olson for 5 minutes.
- 2729 Mr. {Olson.} I thank the Chair. Welcome, Mr. Chao.
- 2730 As you can imagine, sir, folks back home in Texas 22
- 2731 have one simple question; why, why, why did Healthcare.gov--
- 2732 .com rollout--.gov on October 1 when most people in CMS,
- 2733 including yourself and every contractor writing codes and
- 2734 doing the testing, said stop, stop, stop, stop. We need more
- 2735 time. This Red Team document is frightening. I refer you to
- 2736 page 4 of the document, terms like limited end-to-end
- 2737 testing, parallel stacking of all phases. Stacking is
- 2738 vertical not parallel. Insufficient time and scope of end-
- 2739 to-end testing. Launch at full volume. And I refer you to a
- 2740 7/16 email which you said you were worried that, and this is
- 2741 a quote, ``crash the plane takeoff.''
- 2742 With all due respect, sir, it never got to the runway.
- 2743 It was still waiting at the ramp there, waiting for the

2744 pilots, the bags, the fuel, waiting for new tires. Using 2745 your analogy and my record as a naval aviator, Healthcare.gov 2746 was a ``hangar queen,'' never ready to fly. 2747 I do want to talk about--the folks back home I work for 2748 are most concerned about protection of their personal health 2749 information. With so little testing, they are concerned about the lack of security control assessments, SCA's. And 2750 2751 my question is--refers to--I will refer you to the document 2752 brief there, and on--please turn to tab 2, sir. My question 2753 concerns--you guys said that--this is a document you wrote 2754 for Ms. Tavenner, that you needed a 2-part mitigation plan. And part 2 is basically, you said, 1 of the recommended steps 2755 2756 is to ``conduct a full SCA test on the FFM in a stable 2757 environment where all security controls can be tested within 60 to 90 days of going live on October 1.'' The FFM will not 2758 2759 be completed by November 30, so how can you conduct a full test of the SCA within 60 days of open enrollment? How could 2760 2761 that happen when you are losing 30 days right off the bat? 2762 Mr. {Chao.} I think the 60 to 90 days refers to the 2763 inclusion of the final piece that needs to be built. What we 2764 mentioned earlier, which I just want to say that it is 2765 actually 30 percent of the systems are left to be developed, 2766 not 70 percent, and that 30 percent represent the payment

- 2767 aspect and the accounting aspects of making payments in the
- 2768 marketplace, for all marketplaces, not just for Federally-
- 2769 facilitated Marketplaces, and that that functionality has to
- 2770 be in place for the January 1 effective date enrollments.
- 2771 And so I think once we have that completed, we could do a
- 2772 full SCA across the entire system.
- 2773 Mr. {Olson.} But, sir, the document says October 1
- 2774 rollout, 60 to 90 days after that. And apparently right now,
- 2775 we are going back to at least November 1 at the earliest for
- 2776 the rollout. I don't see how you get 60 days or 90 days of
- 2777 testing before we are going live again.
- 2778 And one further question about the SCA's. How many
- 2779 SCA's did you identify and fix before the rollout on October
- 2780 1, how many have been identified and fixed after rollout, and
- 2781 how many are still out there. What is the scope that my
- 2782 constituents should be worried about?
- 2783 Mr. {Chao.} The most important aspect is that there
- 2784 were no high findings in the SCA tests as of the October 1
- 2785 rollout. And as I mentioned earlier, I read off a list of
- 2786 mitigation activities that we go over and above any system
- 2787 that we put into--we deploy and put in operations and monitor
- 2788 on a daily basis.
- 2789 Mr. {Olson.} When can you assure us that a full SCA

```
2790
     will be conducted system-wide? Ever?
2791
           Mr. {Chao.} When the last pieces of the system are
2792
      completely built, which is not -- you know, I don't want people
2793
      to think that there hasn't been a full SCA. A full SCA has
2794
     been conducted on the pieces that were needed for October 1
2795
      for eligibility enrollment. We have yet -- we still have to
2796
     build the financial management aspects of the system, which
2797
      includes our accounting system and payment system and
      reconciliation system. Those will also have security testing
2798
2799
      involved as well.
2800
           Mr. {Olson.} And the full end-to-end--
2801
           Mr. {Chao.} Testing--
2802
           Mr. {Olson.} --testing, the whole, full system, when
2803
      can we expect that to occur, sir? What date?
2804
           Mr. {Chao.} I don't have an exact date, but it should
2805
     be in--some time in December.
2806
           Mr. {Olson.} So 2013, not 2014, 2015, 2016?
2807
           Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
2808
           Mr. {Olson.} 2013. Okay, sir. One final question, and
2809
      I want to refer back to your email from July 16 about needing
2810
      to feel more confident about the Healthcare.gov. I am
2811
      assuming that some time in the last 4 months you got that
      confidence. What gave you that confidence? What was the
2812
```

- 2813 trigger mechanism, when did that happen? Something changed
- 2814 in the last 4 months.
- 2815 Mr. {Chao.} I didn't say anything about having more
- 2816 confidence. I am always cautious, which is what I was trying
- 2817 to say earlier is that, until this is fixed, until the vast
- 2818 majority of people have a good experience going through here,
- 2819 and we have people who want to enroll, get enrolled,
- 2820 particularly for January 1, I am going to continue to focus
- 2821 on that along with the rest of the team. And, you know, and
- 2822 so it is not really about confidence level right now, it is
- 2823 about focusing on fixing the problem.
- 2824 Mr. {Olson.} And so we are not fine yet. The hangar
- 2825 queen is still at the hangar.
- 2826 I yield back the balance of my time.
- 2827 Mr. {Murphy.} I thank the gentleman for yielding back.
- 2828 What we are going to do is give each side 5 more total
- 2829 minutes, because Ms. DeGette has a couple of clarifying
- 2830 questions, I have a couple of clarifying questions. If
- 2831 anybody from my side needs a--some time, we will do that real
- 2832 quick.
- Ms. DeGette.
- 2834 Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 2835 Mr. Chao, I want to thank you for coming and spending

- 2836 the morning with us. I am going to try to be quick because I
- 2837 would like you to get back to wherever you are going and make
- 2838 this thing work. Okay.
- 2839 The first thing I want to clear up, because even
- 2840 though I thought we established it, the--my friends on the
- 2841 other side continued to ask you about this McKinsey document
- 2842 at tab 1, and I just want to clarify. You didn't--you
- 2843 weren't part of this Red Team evaluation, is that right?
- 2844 Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
- 2845 Ms. {DeGette.} And you didn't really see this document
- 2846 until today, is that correct?
- 2847 Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
- 2848 Ms. {DeGette.} So there were a lot of questions people
- 2849 asked you, hypothetical questions people asked you about this
- 2850 evaluation that you really don't know the answer to because
- 2851 you weren't involved in the process and you didn't see the
- 2852 document until today, right?
- 2853 Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
- 2854 Ms. {DeGette.} Now, as I understand it, this evaluation
- 2855 was done in March/April 2013. Is that your understanding as
- 2856 well, this McKinsey evaluation?
- 2857 Mr. {Chao.} It is approximately that time.
- 2858 Ms. {DeGette.} And do you have any knowledge of what

2859 that evaluation was supposed to be for? Was it a snapshot in 2860 time or do you even know? 2861 Mr. {Chao.} From the interviews that I had with 2862 McKinsey, it was about really 2 things. One was, I spent 2863 some time helping McKinsey understand the program. 2864 Ms. {DeGette.} Uh-huh. 2865 Mr. {Chao.} Meaning how it worked, where we were in 2866 terms of status and schedule. I don't--I suppose it also includes a point in time kind of an assessment, because I 2867 2868 educated them on exactly what was happening up to the date--2869 Ms. {DeGette.} Up to that time. Now, on page 4 of this assessment, I don't really want you to respond to this 2870 2871 because you weren't involved in the document, but I do want 2872 to point out, there were a lot of questions that were asked 2873 today about the current situation, evolving requirements, 2874 multiple definitions of success, et cetera, but the people who were asking those questions today didn't talk about the 2875 2876 last thing, which is in bold letters in a box, that says CMS 2877 has been working to mitigate challenges resulting from program characteristics. This was in March or April. And so 2878 2879 without talking about this document necessarily, but I think 2880 what your testimony--what your job is really to identify 2881 issues throughout and try to mitigate them, is that right?

```
2882
          Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
2883
          Ms. {DeGette.} And that is what you have tried to do
2884
      throughout.
2885
           Mr. {Chao.} It is a constant mitigation set of
2886
     activities--
2887
          Ms. {DeGette.} And the Administration has said it is
2888
     going to try to have the Federal Exchange site working for 80
2889
     percent of the people by the end of November. Is that right?
2890
      That is what we have been reading in the press.
2891
          Mr. {Chao.} That is what the press quoted.
2892
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay.
          Mr. {Chao.} I think what we have been saying is the
2893
2894
     vast majority of--
2895
           Ms. {DeGette.} All right, and do you believe that that
      is a reasonable goal at this point?
2896
2897
           Mr. {Chao.} I think that is an attainable goal, given
2898
     what I have seen so far.
2899
           Ms. {DeGette.} Do you think it is going to happen?
2900
          Mr. {Chao.} I don't think there are any quarantees. I
2901
      think we are still in a stage where we are trying to apply as
2902
     much due diligence, acquiring additional assistance, the tech
2903
      surge, looking at performance, fixing the functional defects,
2904
      along with making sure that security monitoring is an ongoing
```

2905 basis. So I think there is still a lot of moving parts that 2906 it wouldn't be prudent to give 100 percent quarantees about 2907 where we are going to be at on an exact date--2908 Ms. {DeGette.} Well--2909 Mr. {Chao.} --but I think we are on the right track. 2910 Ms. {DeGette.} You are--okay, but what I will say to 2911 you is, truly, and you have heard this from all of us, all of 2912 us were disappointed that it didn't work on October 1. I am 2913 sure you were too. 2914 Mr. {Chao.} Very. 2915 Ms. {DeGette.} And so we need this to be essentially 2916 working ASAP. For one thing, people who want insurance 2917 coverage as of January 1 have to sign up for--by December 15. 2918 So if it is not working for the vast majority of people by the end of November, that is going to be hard to do. 2919 2920 Understood? 2921 Mr. {Chao.} We certainly understand that. 2922 Ms. {DeGette.} Okay. One last thing. Someone had 2923 asked you the question -- or had made the assertion that 60 2924 percent of the site was not working, but I am told that is 2925 not really accurate, that it is really about 30 percent that is not working, and most of that is the backend which is the 2926

payment to insurance companies. So that is not necessarily

2927

```
2928
     the part that has to be working at this moment. Is that
2929
     correct?
2930
           Mr. {Chao.} Yeah, it is not that it is not working, it
2931
      is still being developed and tested.
2932
           Ms. {DeGette.} Okay.
2933
          Mr. {Chao.} Right.
2934
          Ms. {DeGette.} But that is the payment to the insurance
2935
     companies.
2936
           Mr. {Chao.} Correct.
2937
           Ms. {DeGette.} Right.
2938
           Mr. {Chao.} Which involves testing with treasury--
2939
           Ms. {DeGette.} Okay.
2940
           Mr. {Chao.} --and others.
2941
           Ms. {DeGette.} All right. Thanks, Mr. Chairman.
2942
           Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.
2943
           Recognize myself for 5 minutes.
2944
           Just let me follow up here that--then what you are
2945
      saying this 30 percent is yet to develop on the payment end.
2946
     On October 1, the day this went live, how much of the site
2947
     was developed at that time?
2948
           Mr. {Chao.} Probably--well 100 percent of all the
2949
     priorities that were set for by the business for October 1,
2950
     it was up and running.
```

```
Mr. {Murphy.} But--okay, but what about the other
2951
2952
     parts?
2953
          Mr. {Chao.} I think there was a reprioritization
2954
      associated with like shop employer, shop employee and the
2955
     Spanish Web site that was--
2956
          Mr. {Murphy.} But that--it was crashing for everybody.
     We have heard that it wasn't designed for that many people,
2957
2958
      it didn't pass a stress test, it never had end-to-end
2959
     testing, and you are saying it was 100 percent ready?
2960
          Mr. {Chao.} No, it--
2961
          Mr. {Murphy.} I just want to make sure I understand.
2962
     What--
2963
          Mr. {Chao.} When I--it was 100 percent built, meaning--
2964
           Mr. {Murphy.} One hundred percent built, but--
          Mr. {Chao.} Or the--
2965
2966
          Mr. {Murphy.} --just not working.
2967
          Mr. {Chao.} Yeah, working functionally and--
           Mr. {Murphy.} Well, then it is not built.
2968
2969
          Mr. {Chao.} --performing well, that--
2970
           Mr. {Chao.} If a car is built but you can't run the
2971
     car, that car is not built. If a Web site isn't working, it
2972
     is not built.
2973
          Mr. {Chao.} Well, I am certainly not going to sit here
```

```
2974
     and try to tell you that it was working well. So I do--
2975
          Mr. {Murphy.} Yeah, but you said on October 1 it was
2976
      100 percent built. I really need to know because you had
2977
      said before you wish you had had more time, and you had just
2978
      said to Ms. DeGette that your job was to identify issues and
2979
     mitigate them. And so there--and since you would have liked
      to have had more time, and your job was to mitigate them,
2980
2981
     would you have liked to have seen this whole report from
2982
     McKinsey that identified the problems so you didn't have to
2983
      find them out?
2984
          Mr. {Chao.} I don't--I--actually, I don't think it was
2985
     necessary because I think this report was for--really for
     Marilyn Tavenner and others, and it was written for that
2986
2987
      level of consumption and that audience.
2988
          Mr. {Murphy.} But you haven't seen this so you don't
2989
      know. Or do you know?
2990
          Mr. {Chao.} I am just assuming that that is why I
2991
     wasn't--
2992
          Mr. {Murphy.} Okay, I just want you to stick with facts
     you know. So--well, what I am seeing here is from March on,
2993
2994
     Marianne Bowen, Jim Kerr, Todd Park, Brian Civak, Michelle
2995
      Snyder, Gary Cohen, Bill Coor, Mike Hash, Arianna Collede,
      Todd Park, Katherine Sebelius, William Schultz, Michelle
2996
```

```
2997
      Snyder, Brian Civak, Marilyn Tavenner, Mark Childus, Jean
2998
     Lambru and Ellen Montz, all had briefings on this. Are those
2999
      any people you work with?
3000
          Mr. {Chao.} I have been in meetings with several of
3001
     those folks.
3002
          Mr. {Murphy.} Some of them. Since March and April?
3003
          Mr. {Chao.} Yes.
3004
          Mr. {Murphy.} And none of them raised any of these
     concerns to you, who--and you identified yourself as--your
3005
3006
      job was to identify issues and mitigate them, but none of
3007
     them identified--
3008
          Mr. {Chao.} Within--
3009
          Mr. {Chao.} --that, with all of these interviews and
3010
      the 200 documents reviewed, that there were these problems?
3011
          Mr. {Chao.} Within my day-to-day operational, you know,
3012
      requirements to manage the contract, to manage schedule, to
3013
     manage staff and--
3014
           Mr. {Murphy.} Yeah, but you--what you don't measure you
3015
      can't manage. And so I am concerned that this list of people
3016
     who you work with were not communicating to you this document
3017
      that you knew something existed because you, indeed, were
3018
      interviewed on it yourself, but here we have this messy
3019
      rollout that didn't work, that crashed, that only 6 people
```

- 3020 signed up the first day, and it--we still are concerned about
- 3021 problems, and yet it is puzzling to me why these key people
- 3022 just didn't talk to you about it. Do you have any--they gave
- 3023 you no hints that this existed?
- 3024 Mr. {Chao.} Perhaps that--I just was not included in
- 3025 certain discussions.
- Mr. {Murphy.} Well, if you knew then what you know now,
- 3027 would you have spoken up more with regard to rolling out this
- 3028 Web site on October 1?
- 3029 Mr. {Chao.} I wish I had the luxury of a time machine
- 3030 to go back and change things, but I can't do that.
- 3031 Mr. {Murphy.} I understand that, but it is a matter
- 3032 that--did you ask someone at that time for more time?
- 3033 Mr. {Chao.} No.
- Mr. {Murphy.} Why not?
- 3035 Mr. {Chao.} Because my direction--
- 3036 Mr. {Murphy.} From?
- 3037 Mr. {Chao.} --was to--from Marilyn Tavenner, is to
- 3038 deliver a system on October 1.
- 3039 Mr. {Murphy.} So Marilyn Tavenner said deliver October
- 3040 1. She had been in on these briefings from McKinsey that
- 3041 said there were serious problems. She was in at least 2 of
- 3042 them I believe. And this was at HHS Headquarters on April 4,

```
3043
      she was there, and also at the Eisenhower Executive Office
3044
     Building on April 6. She was there, she was briefed on these
3045
     problems. She said move it for October 1, and you, as the
3046
     man who is in charge of making sure this works, she didn't
3047
      tell you that those problems existed. Is that what you are
3048
      saying today?
3049
          Mr. {Chao.} I can't comment on that. I--
3050
          Mr. {Murphy.} It is--well, it is either she told you or
3051
      she didn't tell you. I am just curious.
3052
          Mr. {Chao.} I don't think she told me in the context of
3053
     this briefing. I think we have status meetings all the time
3054
      in which we talk about ways to mitigate and to--
          Mr. {Murphy.} You--so you met with her frequently over
3055
3056
      those months, but she never brought up the extent of these
3057
     concerns?
3058
          Mr. {Chao.} Not the McKinsey report, no.
3059
          Mr. {Murphy.} Okay.
3060
           Mr. {Chao.} I think we talked about certainly about
3061
      issues and priorities for October 1.
3062
          Mr. {Murphy.} I see.
3063
           Well, I have no further questions, so, Mr. Chao, I
3064
      appreciate you spending so much time with us today. We are
```

going to take a real quick 5-minute break. We recognize our

3065

```
3066
     next panel of witnesses has been sitting here for a while, so
3067
     we will be right back in 5 minutes.
3068
           And thank you again, Mr. Chao.
3069
          Mr. {Chao.} Thank you.
3070
           [Recess.]
3071
          Mr. {Murphy.} All right, this hearing is reconvened.
3072
           I would now like to introduce the witnesses in the
3073
      second panel for today's hearing, and thank you all for being
3074
     so patient and waiting.
3075
           Our first witness is Jason Providakes. He is the Senior
3076
     Vice President and General Manager for the Center for
3077
     Connected Government at MITRE Corporation. He is also the
3078
     Director of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
3079
     Alliance to Modernize Medicare. Our second witness is Maggie
3080
     Bauer. She is the Senior Vice President of Health Services
3081
     at Creative Computing Solutions, Inc., also known as CCSi.
3082
     She has extensive operations management experience in
3083
      consulting, program management, IT infrastructure services,
3084
      software development, lifecycle and user support on service-
3085
      level drive performance-based programs. And our third
     witness is David Amsler. He is the Founder, President and
3086
     Chief Information Officer at Foreground Security, Inc. He
3087
3088
     has more than 15 years of IT security experience, and he
```

```
3089
     oversees the overall customer centered vision and direction
3090
     of Foreground Security, its industry-leading offerings and
3091
     day-to-day operations.
3092
           I will now swear in the witnesses.
3093
           You are all aware that the committee is holding an
3094
      investigative hearing, and when doing so, has the practice of
3095
      taking testimony under oath. Do you have any objections to
3096
      taking testimony under oath?
3097
          Ms. {Bauer.} No.
3098
           {Voice.} No.
3099
           Mr. {Murphy.} All the witnesses are in the negative
3100
     there. The Chair then advises you that under the rules of
3101
     the House and the rules of the committee, you are entitled to
3102
     be advised by counsel. Do any of you desire to be advised by
3103
     counsel during your testimony today?
3104
           {Voice.} No.
3105
           Mr. {Murphy.} And all the witnesses have said no.
3106
      that case, would you please rise, raise your right hand and I
3107
     will swear you in.
3108
           [Witnesses sworn.]
3109
           Mr. {Murphy.} And all the witnesses responded, I do.
3110
           You are now under oath and subject to the penalties set
      forth in Title XCIII, Section 1001 of the United States Code.
3111
```

3112 You may now give a 5-minute opening summary of your 3113 statement, Mr. Providakes.

```
3114
      ^TESTIMONY OF JASON PROVIDAKES, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT AND
3115
     GENERAL MANAGER, CENTER FOR CONNECTED GOVERNMENT, MITRE
3116
     CORPORATION; MAGGIE BAUER, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT, HEALTH
3117
     SERVICES, CREATIVE COMPUTING SOLUTIONS, INC. (CCSi); AND
3118
     DAVID AMSLER, PRESIDENT AND CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER,
3119
     FOREGROUND SECURITY, INC.
3120
      ^TESTIMONY OF JASON PROVIDAKES
3121
          Mr. {Providakes.} Yes. All right, well, good morning,
     }
3122
     Chairman Murphy, and Ranking Member DeGette. My name is
3123
     Jason Providakes, and I am here today on behalf of the MITRE
3124
     Corporation. I serve as the director of the not-for-profit,
3125
      federally-funded research and development center, operated by
3126
     MITRE and sponsored by the U.S. Department of Health and
3127
     Human Services.
3128
           The MITRE Corporation is chartered in the public
3129
      interest to apply systems engineering skills and advanced
3130
      technology, to address issues of critical national
3131
      importance. We accomplish this through operation of research
3132
      and development centers that support our government sponsors
3133
     with scientific research and development, analysis and
```

3134 systems engineering and integration as well. 3135 Known as federally-funded research development centers, 3136 they are operated under a set of rules and constraints 3137 proscribed by the federal acquisition regulations. The rules 3138 are designed to preserve the FFRDC's objectivity and 3139 dependence and freedom from conflict of interest. 3140 MITRE operates FFRDC centers for seven federal agency 3141 sponsors. We were awarded the contract to operate the CMS 3142 alliance to modernize healthcare center about a year ago 3143 following a competitive bid. The center was charged with 3144 assisting CMS in modernizing its operation, and supporting 3145 the implementation of health reform, and the expansion of 3146 healthcare to millions of Americans. 3147 MITRE serves as a technical, independent objective 3148 advisor to CMS. We have been supporting CMS successfully 3149 since about 2005 on a contract basis, prior to the 3150 establishment of the new center. We advise on health IT, 3151 helped plan and develop future policies, we provide technical 3152 evaluations and objective evaluation of business models, and 3153 assess new technology. 3154 As part of its efforts to establish Healthcare.gov, CMS 3155 asked MITRE to conduct security assessments on parts of the 3156 site. And I appreciate the opportunity to clarify what our

3157 role was in assisting CMS on Healthcare.gov. We provide CMS 3158 with information security support and guidance under two 3159 contracts; the Office of Information Systems, and Enterprise 3160 Information Systems Group. Pursuant to tasks issued under 3161 those contracts, MITRE performed a total of 18 security 3162 control assessments, or SCA's, for components across the 3163 range of CMS enterprise systems. Most of these were 3164 performed on supporting infrastructure and development 3165 components. Six of the SCA's were directly related to 3166 Healthcare.gov, and were performed between September of 2012 3167 and September of 2013. 3168 MITRE performs various tasks as part of overall support 3169 for CMS enterprise security maintenance. A limited amount of 3170 that support is in the form of external penetration testing relative to CMS Web sites, including Healthcare.gov. MITRE 3171 3172 is not in charge of security for Healthcare.gov. We were not 3173 asked nor did we perform end-to-end security testing. We 3174 have no view on the overall safety or security status of 3175 Healthcare.gov. 3176 MITRE did not and does not recommend approval of--or 3177 disapproval of an authority to operate. Deciding whether and when to grant an ATO is inherently a governmental function 3178 3179 that derives from the government's assessment of overall risk

3180 posture. In this case, the government made its ATO decisions 3181 based on a large set of inputs and factors, among which were 3182 6 SCA's performed by MITRE. We do not have visibility into 3183 the many other factors that went into the government's ATO 3184 decision. CMS did not advise MITRE whether or when ATO's 3185 were granted for the marketplace components being tested. In 3186 this case, the government made its ATO decisions based on a 3187 large set of data. 3188 Again, we were not asked to conduct end-to-end testing, 3189 rather we tested specific parts of Healthcare.gov, under a 3190 set of specific parameters established by CMS. We worked 3191 alongside the CMS-designated contractor in the course of 3192 testing to remediate risks as high, and in almost all cases, 3193 we succeeded. Our testing was accomplished in accordance 3194 with standard SCA engineering methodologies. In each case, 3195 we assessed component security control risks against CMS-3196 defined security control parameters, on a high, moderate or 3197 low scale, and we recommended appropriate risk mitigations. 3198 On site security control assessment, testing typically 3199 begins on a Monday and wraps up within a week. The tests 3200 against CMS-defined security control parameters, over the course of 5 days of testing, MITRE identifies the risk and 3201 3202 assigns a remediation priorities for risks judged to be high

```
3203
      and moderate levels. Security testing is designed to flush
3204
      out and pinpoint the security weakness of a digital
3205
      information system. This enables corrective remediations to
3206
     be applied, and also allows the system operator to make
3207
     necessary business judgments and tradeoffs about the overall
3208
      system.
3209
           Because our role in performing the security control
3210
      tests was limited in both time and scope, MITRE has no
3211
      insight into how assessed security control risks were
3212
     handled, or what other risks may have surfaced subsequent to
3213
      the date of testing. Judgments about the potential impact of
3214
      assessed security control risks on overall system operation
3215
      or performance were business judgments made by CMS as part of
3216
      the operating authority.
3217
           Through our broader partnership with the federal
3218
      government, we remain committed to assisting CMS in working
3219
      to enhance the care and delivery of healthcare for all
3220
     Americans.
3221
           I would be happy to respond to your questions.
3222
      you.
3223
           [The prepared statement of Mr. Providakes follows:]
```

3224 ************ INSERT B *********

```
3225 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.

3226 Now turn to Ms. Bauer for her opening statement.
```

3227 ^STATEMENT OF MAGGIE BAUER 3228 Ms. {Bauer.} Good afternoon, Chairman Murphy, Ranking 3229 Member DeGette. My name is Maggie Bauer and I am a Senior 3230 Vice President at Creative Computing Solutions, Inc., CCSi. 3231 I have responsibility for CCSi's federal health 3232 contracts, including the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid 3233 Services, Veterans Affairs, the Department of Health and 3234 Human Services National Institutes of Health, and the 3235 Military Health Service. 3236 In addition to health-related services, CCSi delivers 3237 program and project management service--services, cyber 3238 security services and enterprise systems engineering, exclusively to the federal government. 3239 3240 CCSi was founded in 1992 by Dr. Manju Bewtra. In August of 2012, CMS awarded CCSi a contract to 3241 3242 provide security oversight of the CMS e-cloud. The e-cloud 3243 refers to CMS's virtual data center, which hosts systems and 3244 applications that support the Affordable Care Act. 3245 Foreground Security is their subcontractor, and we function 3246 as a fully integrated team. 3247 CCSi's role on this contract is to provide security

3248 operations monitoring and management, including 24 by 7 by 3249 365 security monitoring from a secure operation center, 3250 otherwise known as a SOC. We monitor the perimeter firewalls 3251 and network devices for the e-cloud, and we scan applications 3252 for security incidents. These scans do not measure or track 3253 availability, up/downtimes or latency. If we detect an 3254 anomaly, we follow the CMS-approved incident response plan 3255 procedures for identified security incidents, such as network 3256 security configuration flaws or vulnerabilities in the 3257 network, security devices or in applications. CCSi's 3258 contract does not extend to remediating security incidents. 3259 CCSi's scope of work includes configuration, tuning, monitoring and management of CMS government-furnished 3260 3261 equipment that resides in the Verizon Terremark security 3262 monitoring zone. We review log files, we conduct event 3263 analysis, we provide reporting on security incidents, all of this under the direction and supervision of CMS. 3264 3265 Activities involving the development, scaling, testing, release or administration of the Federal Exchange Program, 3266 3267 Healthcare.gov, the Federal Exchange, or the Federally-3268 facilitated Marketplace are not within the scope of our 3269 contract. 3270 I would be pleased to answer any questions that you

```
3274 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you, Ms. Bauer.

3275 Mr. Amsler, you are recognized for 5 minutes.
```

3276 ^STATEMENT OF DAVID AMSLER 3277 Mr. {Amsler.} Thank you, sir. 3278 Chairman Murphy, Ranking Member DeGette, members of the 3279 subcommittee, good afternoon and thank you for inviting me to testify at this hearing on the security of the Web site, 3280 3281 Healthcare.gov. 3282 I am the president and chief information officer of 3283 Foreground Security. I also founded the company. We provide 3284 cyber security consulting, training and services for both private sector and government agencies. Our clients include 3285 Fortune 100 companies, smaller but highly-targeted firms, and 3286 3287 government agencies. 3288 We defend our customers against an increasingly 3289 intricate threat and threat actors, through an integrated approach that entails building security architecture and 3290 3291 assessing, monitoring and responding to attacks against our 3292 customer environments. Foreground Security is a small but growing dedicated 3293 3294 cyber security business located in Herndon, Virginia, and 3295 Florida. Our roughly 100 employees are highly trained and committed to serving our clients. 3296

3297 Foreground Security is one of the companies hired to 3298 help develop a robust operational security management program 3299 for the new virtual data center created to implement the Affordable Care Act. We are subcontracted to our teammate, 3300 3301 Creative Computing Solutions, Inc., or CCSi, which is the 3302 prime contractor for the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid 3303 Services. 3304 Our role with CCSi includes a number of objectives relating to the security environment of Healthcare.gov. I 3305 3306 think of our role as encompassing 3 phases. First is the 3307 creation of the security monitoring environment. 3308 entailed getting key staff in place, identifying needed 3309 security monitoring software and hardware, and building out a 3310 dedicated security operation center, or SOC, from which all 3311 monitoring is performed. Second is building those security 3312 monitoring capabilities identified in phase 1 into the cloud 3313 environment itself. This has been the most challenging part 3314 of our contract, in large part because we have had to 3315 construct security monitoring capabilities while the system 3316 itself is being built. Our work on this phase continues. 3317 And third is actually monitoring the environment, which 3318 itself can be thought of as having two components. One is day-to-day, continuously searching for malicious activities 3319

3320 including reporting and defending against them when they do 3321 The other is monitoring known malicious actors or 3322 groups in advance of attacks to proactively identify the 3323 techniques or tactics they may be using or planning to use to 3324 compromise this environment. These are our main and state 3325 responsibilities relating to the security environment. 3326 We have worked very closely with CMS and Verizon 3327 Terremark on all phases of our work. CMS reviews and 3328 approves any capability we place in the environment, and 3329 Verizon Terremark, as the host of the environment, helps 3330 determine what security measures are placed in the virtual 3331 data center. 3332 Prospective on our role is important. While our work 3333 for CMS is essential, it is narrowly focused, and we were not 3334 involved in the design of the site, developing the software 3335 that runs it, or its administration. To that end, we do not 3336 monitor the site for performance purposes. Foreground 3337 Security is just 1 member of the security team, in addition 3338 to the other companies represented today here on this panel, Verizon Terremark, URS, CGI and QSSI, all play key roles in 3339 3340 developing and testing the security of Healthcare.gov. 3341 I am proud of the work that Foreground Security has 3342 undertaken, and continues to undertake in order to allow

3343	families and individuals looking for health insurance to use
3344	the Healthcare.gov Web site, secure in the knowledge that
3345	their personal information is being protected with state-of-
3346	the-art monitoring and defenses. To thatto this point,
3347	Foreground Security has fulfilled its obligations to CMS on
3348	time and under budget. We are dedicated to secure the
3349	operation of Healthcare.gov, and take extremely serious the
3350	obligations to the public trust.
3351	I welcome any questions you may have.
3352	[The prepared statement of Mr. Amsler follows:]
3353	********* INSERT D ********

```
3354
          Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you, Mr. Amsler.
3355
           Couple of questions I want to begin with. First of all,
3356
      I will start with you, Mr. Amsler. Do you--you were here
3357
      for--throughout Mr. Chao's testimony, all three of you were.
3358
     Do you have any concerns about any comments that were made by
3359
     Mr. Chao?
3360
          Mr. {Amsler.} I wouldn't have any specific concerns--
3361
          Mr. {Murphy.} Ms. Bauer?
3362
          Mr. {Amsler.} --I would like to voice.
3363
          Ms. {Bauer.} No.
          Mr. {Murphy.} Mr. Providakes?
3364
          Mr. {Providakes.} No concerns.
3365
3366
           Mr. {Murphy.} All right. Mr. Amsler, you had said that
3367
      in addition to the other companies represented today in this
3368
     panel, Verizon Terremark, URS, CGI and QSSI, all played key
      roles in developing and testing the security of
3369
3370
     Healthcare.gov. Are you also referring to Ms. Bauer's
3371
     company played a role in this?
3372
           Mr. {Amsler.} I view them as our teammate, I view them
3373
     as one of us.
3374
          Mr. {Murphy.} Because I thought in her testimony she
3375
      said that they were not that involved. So let me ask you,
```

```
3376
     with this many companies involved, who did you all report to?
3377
          Mr. {Amsler.} Well, our customer was CMS, and the
3378
      security team--
3379
          Mr. {Murphy.} Person. Is there a person?
3380
           Mr. {Amsler.} Our direct government technical lead, his
3381
     name is Tom Shankwailer.
3382
          Mr. {Murphy.} And with regard to this, with all of
3383
      these companies involved playing key roles in developing and
      testing security, is that typical to have so many companies
3384
3385
      involved as opposed to one that is trying to do the end-to-
3386
     end work on this?
3387
          Mr. {Amsler.} Well, we have experienced all sizes of
      implementations. This one is obviously, certainly one of the
3388
3389
      largest that I have ever seen undertaken. I have certainly
3390
      seen lots of people involved, but probably not this many.
3391
           Mr. {Murphy.} Mr. Providakes, is this typical to have
      so many companies involved in dealing with the security in a
3392
3393
      site?
3394
          Mr. {Providakes.} Not really number of companies that
     were involved, but having two or three is not untypical to
3395
3396
     have on the complexity of a site like this.
           Mr. {Murphy.} I just wondered if that added to the
3397
```

complexity of trying to monitor security of the site.

3398

```
3399
          Mr. {Providakes.} If it is well managed from a program
3400
     perspective--
3401
          Mr. {Murphy.} Was it well managed?
3402
          Mr. {Providakes.} I would not know.
3403
          Mr. {Murphy.} From your perspective?
3404
          Mr. {Providakes.} I don't--we weren't involved in that
      level of insight on that. I believe, you know--
3405
3406
          Mr. {Murphy.} All right, Ms. Bauer, were you involved
3407
      in that level, and was it well managed from your point of
3408
     view?
3409
          Ms. {Bauer.} Our management from CMS has been on a very
      regular basis. We have daily meetings, in fact, since
3410
3411
     Healthcare.gov went live. Those meetings actually began, or
3412
      ramped up I should say, to hourly and then back to way to
3413
     about every 4 hours, and now they are on a shift basis of
3414
      three times a day.
3415
          Mr. {Murphy.} Well, you just said activities involving
3416
      the developing, scaling, testing, release or administration
3417
     of the Federal Exchange Program system, Healthcare.gov, the
3418
      Federal Exchange or the Federally-facilitated Marketplace, or
3419
     FFM, are not within the scope of your contract. So you were
     not involved in the security issues involved with those Web
3420
3421
     sites?
```

```
3422
          Ms. {Bauer.} The security, yes, but not the
3423
     development, scaling or testing of the Healthcare.gov
3424
      applications, per se.
3425
          Mr. {Murphy.} Were you involved with the testing of the
3426
      security?
3427
          Ms. {Bauer.} Yes.
3428
          Mr. {Murphy.} And was it working?
3429
          Ms. {Bauer.} Yes.
          Mr. {Murphy.} At October 1?
3430
3431
          Ms. {Bauer.} Everything that was under our scope.
3432
          Mr. {Murphy.} Under your scope.
3433
          Ms. {Bauer.} Yes--
3434
          Mr. {Murphy.} But in terms of--
3435
          Ms. {Bauer.} --was functioning.
3436
          Mr. {Murphy.} --how it relates to other parts, you
3437
     don't know?
3438
          Ms. {Bauer.} I would not know that.
3439
           Mr. {Murphy.} Okay. Mr. Amsler, how about for you,
3440
     were your parts working okay in your individual part, and was
      that also tested with regard to the others?
3441
3442
          Mr. {Amsler.} Congressman, to be clear, for--as far as
     our work is concerned, our focus worked around operational
3443
3444
     monitoring security and some testing, we absolutely were
```

```
3445
     working. I can't speak to the rest of the groups and the
3446
      teams that were involved in development, or even the SCA--
3447
          Mr. {Murphy.} What I am trying to find out, was that--
3448
          Mr. {Amsler.} --people who were not involved.
3449
           Mr. {Murphy.} --typical, atypical, and would you be
3450
      concerned about how your parts worked in conjunction with the
      site overall, or is that not typically a question you would
3451
3452
      ask? Well, I--it is like this. If you design a part for a
3453
     car and you know your part is working, would you like to know
3454
     if the car works?
3455
          Mr. {Amsler.} Absolutely.
          Mr. {Murphy.} And so that is what I am asking all of
3456
3457
      you, would you have liked to have known that if your segments
3458
     may have worked on their own, but didn't--you didn't know
3459
     whether or not it worked at the whole system security. Is
3460
      that correct, Mr. Providakes?
3461
           Mr. {Providakes.} Well, that would be correct.
3462
           Mr. {Murphy.} Ms. Bauer?
3463
          Ms. {Bauer.} Yes.
           Mr. {Murphy.} Okay. From--Mr. Providakes, CMS adopted
3464
3465
      the security controls you developed, correct?
          Mr. {Providakes.} That is correct.
3466
```

Mr. {Murphy.} And are these controls embedded in the

3467

```
3468
     applications at the direction of CMS?
3469
           Mr. {Providakes.} They were assessed, but yes, they
3470
     were embedded for the configuration changes would be made
3471
     based on the configuration controls.
3472
           Mr. {Murphy.} And at what point of the application
      development phase should security controls begin to be
3473
3474
      embedded into the application?
3475
           Mr. {Providakes.} Well, at the production phase. When
     we test--generally, when we test with an SCA, we are assuming
3476
3477
     that we are looking at the production-ready version of the
3478
     application, and then we apply those CMS security controls we
3479
      talked about and assess those against the production-ready
     version of that application.
3480
3481
           Mr. {Murphy.} Are they embedded into the architecture
3482
     of Healthcare.gov?
3483
           Mr. {Providakes.} The overall CMS enterprise security
3484
     controls are to be applied across all the systems of
3485
     Healthcare.gov.
3486
           Mr. {Murphy.} So they should be embedded then into
3487
     Healthcare.gov?
3488
           Mr. {Providakes.} It should be.
3489
           Mr. {Murphy.} Were they?
3490
           Mr. {Providakes.} I have no way of knowing that.
```

```
3491
          Mr. {Murphy.} Ms. Bauer, do you know if they were?
3492
          Ms. {Bauer.} I do not know.
3493
          Mr. {Murphy.} Mr. Amsler?
3494
          Mr. {Amsler.} I wouldn't know the answer to that.
3495
          Mr. {Murphy.} Okay. But you all worked on these
      security parts. We don't know if they were embedded and you
3496
3497
     don't know if anybody did testing, but you would have liked
3498
      to have seen that. Am I correct with all of you?
3499
          Mr. {Providakes.} No, just parts. Just some parts.
3500
          Mr. {Murphy.} Ms. Bauer, correct?
3501
          Ms. {Bauer.} Correct
3502
          Mr. {Murphy.} Mr. Amsler?
3503
          Mr. {Amsler.} Correct.
3504
          Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.
3505
          And now we will give--yield to Ms. DeGette for 5
3506
     minutes.
3507
          Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3508
           As Mr. Chao testified, it is part of CMS's protocols
3509
      that they hire independent contractors to test different
3510
     parts of the security aspects of the site. Is that your
3511
     understanding as well, Mr. Providakes?
3512
          Mr. {Providakes.} Yes, it is.
3513
          Ms. {DeGette.} And is it yours, Ms. Bauer?
```

```
3514
          Ms. {Bauer.} Yes.
3515
          Ms. {DeGette.} And is it yours, Mr. Amsler?
3516
          Mr. {Amsler.} Yes.
3517
          Ms. {DeGette.} So, Mr. Providakes, I want to ask you
3518
      first. You testified your company was not hired to do the--
3519
      to perform end-to-end security testing, is that correct?
3520
          Mr. {Providakes.} That is correct.
3521
          Ms. {DeGette.} And so what your job was to assess and
3522
      identify risks and specific components of Healthcare.gov, to
3523
     work with CMS and to address those concerns and report on the
3524
      findings and results. Is that correct?
3525
          Mr. {Providakes.} That is correct.
3526
          Ms. {DeGette.} And am I correct that in virtually all
3527
     cases, when you did identify high risks in Healthcare.gov
3528
     components, CMS was able to mitigate those risks before the
3529
     system went live?
          Mr. {Providakes.} Yes. Almost all the high risks were
3530
3531
     mitigated.
3532
          Ms. {DeGette.} And you said in your testimony--in your
     written testimony, MITRE is not in charge of security for
3533
3534
     Healthcare.gov. We were not asked, nor did we perform, end-
3535
     to-end security testing. We have no view of the overall
3536
      safety or security status of Healthcare.gov. That is because
```

```
3537
     you were only asked to do a narrow assessment of part of it,
3538
     right?
3539
           Mr. {Providakes.} A narrow assessment in scope and in a
3540
      time that is--
3541
           Ms. {DeGette.} In time.
3542
          Mr. {Providakes.} In time.
3543
           Ms. {DeGette.} Now, I just want to ask you, what is
3544
      your personal view of the overall safety or security of
3545
     Healthcare.gov, having worked on this, at least some aspects
3546
     of it?
3547
           Mr. {Providakes.} Well, my personal perspective--
3548
           Ms. {DeGette.} Uh-huh.
           Mr. {Providakes.} --knowing CMS experience in the past,
3549
3550
     as Henry Chao alluded to, they do a very solid job in terms
3551
     of securing their systems--
3552
           Ms. {DeGette.} And--
3553
           Mr. {Providakes.} --historically.
3554
           Ms. {DeGette.} And what you were doing was part of the
3555
      same types of things CMS has done to secure their systems in
3556
     the past--
3557
           Mr. {Providakes.} That is correct.
3558
           Ms. {DeGette.} --is that right?
3559
           Mr. {Providakes.} That is correct.
```

```
3560
          Ms. {DeGette.} Ms. Bauer--now, as I understand it, Mr.
3561
     Amsler, your company works sort of as a subcontractor of Ms.
3562
     Bauer's company. Is that right?
3563
          Mr. {Amsler.} Yes.
3564
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay. So what you folks do is your
3565
      company--CCSi monitors the firewalls and network devices for
3566
     the e-cloud that hosts Healthcare.gov, and scans the Web
3567
     site's application for security vulnerabilities. Is that
3568
     correct?
3569
          Ms. {Bauer.} That is correct.
3570
          Ms. {DeGette.} And on October 22, you briefed this
3571
     committee, and I want to ask you, at that time, had you
     detected any activity that you would consider to be out of
3572
3573
     the ordinary for a system like this?
3574
          Ms. {Bauer.} Not out of the ordinary, no.
3575
           Ms. {DeGette.} Okay. And are you continuing to monitor
3576
     the Web site moving forward?
3577
           Ms. {Bauer.} Yes, we continue to perform all the
      functions of our contract.
3578
3579
           Ms. {DeGette.} And why is that?
3580
          Ms. {Bauer.} I am sorry?
          Ms. {DeGette.} Why are you continuing to monitor the
3581
3582
     functions?
```

```
3583
          Ms. {Bauer.} Because that is the scope of our contract,
3584
      is to continually--
3585
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay. And have you--
3586
          Ms. {Bauer.} --monitor it.
3587
          Ms. {DeGette.} Have you detected any activity since
     October 22 that you considered to be out of the ordinary?
3588
3589
          Ms. {Bauer.} We would detect activity on a daily, if
3590
     not hourly basis. That is part of the nature of security
3591
     monitoring. Whether it is extreme or out of the ordinary,
3592
      there is nothing that has been brought to my attention that
3593
     would--
3594
          Ms. {DeGette.} And would that be then reported to CMS?
          Ms. {Bauer.} Yes, there is an incident response plan,
3595
3596
     and we follow the procedures of that plan.
3597
          Ms. {DeGette.} And have you seen anything that would
3598
      indicate some terrible problem with the Web site, vis-à-vis,
3599
      security?
3600
           Ms. {Bauer.} Nothing that I have seen or that has been
3601
     escalated to me, no.
3602
           Ms. {DeGette.} Okay. And there is another contractor
3603
     as I understand that has also been asked to look at other
3604
      aspects, and that is Verizon. They are not here today. Is
3605
      that your understanding as well?
```

```
3606
          Ms. {Bauer.} Yes. Yes.
3607
          Ms. {DeGette.} So is--Ms. Bauer, has your company
3608
     worked with CMS before? Mr. Providakes said his has on
3609
      security issues.
3610
           Ms. {Bauer.} No, we have not, but we--
3611
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay.
3612
          Ms. {Bauer.} --have other security work.
3613
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay. And Mr. Amsler, what about your
3614
     company?
3615
           Mr. {Amsler.} Not directly for CMS--
3616
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay.
          Mr. {Amsler.} --but other HHS--
3617
3618
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay, so you wouldn't know whether this
3619
      is--kind of mirrors other security activity with CMS. But,
3620
     Mr. Providakes, you are telling me that, with what your
3621
     company has done before, you are seeing a similar concern and
      readiness for security applications?
3622
3623
           Mr. {Providakes.} Well, what I said was that following
     CMS's approach towards security, they do execute, you know,
3624
      10, 20, 70 SCA's a year that we actually executed for CMS.
3625
      So their--part of their process is, before they execute an
3626
3627
     ATO, they look for the input of these SCA's, which is a very
3628
      rigorous process, a definition, defined in a parameter in a
```

- 3629 moment of time that we would conduct these SCA's for CMS as
- 3630 input to the ATO process.
- 3631 Ms. {DeGette.} Right. Okay, thank you.
- 3632 Thanks, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it.
- 3633 Mr. {Murphy.} Let me ask clarification of something Ms.
- 3634 DeGette said.
- 3635 Mr. {Providakes.} Sure.
- 3636 Mr. {Murphy.} She asked you a question about CMS and
- 3637 their work on this, and you used the word historically. Were
- 3638 you referring then to the Healthcare.gov Web site or in the
- 3639 past they were?
- 3640 Mr. {Providakes.} No. In the past. Broadly across CMS
- 3641 in terms of their security rigor that they apply across their
- 3642 systems.
- 3643 Mr. {Murphy.} Thank you.
- Mr. Olson, you are recognized for 5 minutes.
- 3645 Mr. {Olson.} I thank the Chair. I mostly want to thank
- 3646 the witnesses for your patience being here. It has been a
- 3647 long day, I know that.
- 3648 Very brief questions. I mean getting health--ObamaCare-
- 3649 -I am sorry, Healthcare.gov up and running is not rocket
- 3650 scientists, and that is good because if we were, we would
- 3651 still be waiting to land on the moon over 50 years later.

```
3652
           You may have seen the McKinsey report, the Red Team
3653
      report. Have you all seen that?
3654
          Ms. {Bauer.} I have not.
3655
          Mr. {Olson.} Okay. I will get the copies to you. I
3656
      just want to ask some questions about the report. And I
3657
      apologize that you haven't seen it, but it compares on page 4
3658
      ideal, large-scale programs and the current state of
3659
     Healthcare.gov. And I want to--just some yes-or-no
     questions, do you agree with the statements from this report.
3660
3661
     And again, it is compared to large-scale ideal--large-scale
3662
     program development ideal program with the characteristics of
3663
     Healthcare.gov. The first ideal situation, clear
     articulation of requirements and success metrics in
3664
3665
     Healthcare.gov, evolving requirements and multiple
3666
     definitions of success. Do you agree with those assessments
3667
     that that is ideal, and that is what has happened with
3668
     Healthcare.gov, Mr. Providakes? Yes or no, sir? Don't want
3669
     to put you on the spot.
3670
          Mr. {Providakes.} It is very difficult to answer that
     question. Is that a hypothetical question in terms of--
3671
3672
          Mr. {Olson.} Hypothetical, yes, sir. I mean the ideal
3673
     program is in clear articulation and has that happened on
3674
     Healthcare.gov?
```

```
3675
           Mr. {Providakes.} In the best world, you would love to
3676
     have clear articulated requirements upfront that you can
3677
     design to, build to, test to, and that would be great,
3678
      although it is rare, but that would be great.
3679
           Mr. {Olson.} Okay, involving requirements with
3680
     Healthcare.gov, has that been a problem?
3681
           Mr. {Providakes.} I am not sure of the number of
3682
      requirements. I would think there were quite a number of
      requirements for Healthcare.gov.
3683
3684
           Mr. {Olson.} Ms. Bauer?
3685
           Ms. {Bauer.} I would--just having looked at it briefly,
3686
      I would agree with--
3687
           Mr. {Olson.} I apologize for that, ma'am.
3688
           Ms. {Bauer.} I would agree with the description of
      ideals--the ideal situation, however, I wouldn't have insight
3689
3690
      into the current situation because that involves the
     development of Healthcare.gov--
3691
           Mr. {Olson.} Okay.
3692
3693
           Ms. {Bauer.} --which is not within the scope of our
3694
     contract.
3695
           Mr. {Olson.} Mr. Amsler?
3696
           Mr. {Amsler.} I would--ideal is--I agree with ideal.
3697
     Again, we weren't involved in those aspects, so I couldn't
```

```
3698
      speak to it.
3699
          Mr. {Olson.} How about the program that ideal is
3700
      sequential requirements design, build and testing,
3701
      integration, revision between phases, and what the current
3702
      situation is parallel stacking of all phases. Do you agree,
3703
     Mr. Providakes? I apologize, sir, for not--
3704
          Mr. {Providakes.} That is fine. If--
3705
          Mr. {Olson.} --pronouncing--would idealism work?
3706
          Mr. {Providakes.} It would create significant
3707
     challenges to the program office to deliver that.
3708
          Mr. {Olson.} Has there been parallel stacking?
3709
          Mr. {Providakes.} It would be a significant challenge
3710
     to do that.
3711
           Mr. {Olson.} Ms. Bauer?
3712
          Ms. {Bauer.}
                        I would agree with that statement.
3713
          Mr. {Olson.} Mr. Amsler?
          Mr. {Amsler.} Agree.
3714
3715
           Mr. {Olson.} Okay, how about interim integrated
3716
      operations and testing is ideal. I think we all agree with
3717
      that. And what has happened is insufficient time and scope
3718
     of end-to-end testing. Would you all agree with those
3719
      statements, yes or no?
3720
          Mr. {Providakes.} I guess in the context you put it,
```

- 3721 you are saying is there a limited end-to-end testing, and
- 3722 given the fact that you have a hard date, I would surmise
- 3723 they had limited time to end-to-end testing. It doesn't mean
- 3724 you couldn't have done it, it just meant there is limited
- 3725 time to do it.
- 3726 Mr. {Olson.} Ms. Bauer?
- 3727 Ms. {Bauer.} Yeah, generally I would agree. I would
- 3728 have no insight though into what the increments were as
- 3729 regards to schedule, but, you know, you could create
- 3730 milestones and achieve ideally just about any goal if you
- 3731 create the milestones and achieve them on the way to the
- 3732 goal.
- 3733 Mr. {Olson.} Mr. Amsler?
- 3734 Mr. {Amsler.} End-to-end testing for me is pure
- 3735 security. That is the world we lived in, and that is the
- 3736 world that we only live in. We can achieve a lot testing
- 3737 along the way, but I would certainly--I always shoot for
- 3738 ideal. Ideal would be end-to-end testing.
- 3739 Mr. {Olson.} And ideal a limited initial launch or a
- 3740 full launch? Not ideal. Last question. Yes or no, do you
- 3741 agree with those statements? Launching at full volume is not
- 3742 very good, limited initial launch what we should be seeking?
- 3743 Mr. {Providakes.} Well, limited launch increases the

```
3744
     risk, obviously, than a full. It is an increased risk.
3745
          Mr. {Olson.} Yeah. Ms. Bauer?
3746
           Ms. {Bauer.} I would actually suggest that perhaps a
3747
      limited launch would have had a lower risk, and that a full
3748
      launch may have a larger risk, whatever system you would be
3749
      deploying.
3750
          Mr. {Olson.} Mr. Amsler?
3751
          Mr. {Amsler.} I agree with Ms. Bauer's statement.
3752
          Mr. {Olson.} Well said, sir.
3753
          And one final question. Again, I am not trying to put
3754
     you on the spot, but with all your knowledge about how this
3755
     program rolled out, are you comfortable putting yourselves'
     and your families', putting your personal information into
3756
3757
     Healthcare.gov?
3758
          Mr. {Providakes.} I have.
3759
           Mr. {Olson.} You are comfortable? Yes.
3760
          Mr. {Providakes.} That is a personal choice that you
3761
     have to make based on, in my case, where knowing the limited
3762
     amount of personal information I put up there and other
      information, I feel comfortable personally, but that might
3763
3764
     not apply to everyone.
3765
          Mr. {Olson.} Ms. Bauer, yes or no, ma'am, comfortable?
```

3766

Ms. {Bauer.} Yes.

```
3767
          Mr. {Olson.} Mr. Amsler?
3768
          Mr. {Amsler.} I am actually very happy with my current
3769
     healthcare.
3770
          Mr. {Olson.} Oh boy, you are trying to open a hornet's
3771
     nest there.
3772
          Mr. {Murphy.} Well, too bad you can't keep it.
3773
          Mr. {Olson.} That is my time.
3774
          Mr. {Murphy.} What it comes down to. Gentleman's time
3775
     has expired.
3776
          Ms. DeGette, you have a clarifying question?
3777
          Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3778
           The questions that Mr. Olson was asking you folks were
3779
     on this McKinsey document that we spent so much time with the
3780
      last witness talking about, tab 1 of the notebook. Have you
3781
     seen that report before, Mr. Providakes?
3782
           Mr. {Providakes.} I am familiar of this report.
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay. Ms. Bauer, have you see it?
3783
3784
           Ms. {Bauer.} No, I have not.
3785
          Ms. {DeGette.} And, Mr. Amsler, have you see it?
3786
          Mr. {Amsler.} I have not.
3787
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay. So, Mr. Providakes, the 2 of you
3788
     --in any--then, Ms. Bauer and Mr. Amsler, any answers you
3789
     were giving were really just based on speculation, since you
```

```
3790
     weren't--haven't seen it and weren't involved with it, is
3791
     that right?
3792
          Ms. {Bauer.} Yes.
3793
          Ms. {DeGette.} Mr. Amsler?
3794
          Mr. {Amsler.} That is correct.
3795
          Ms. {DeGette.} Okay, Mr. Providakes, so Mr. Olson was
3796
     asking you about some of these recommendations. This is from
3797
      last spring. It was a snapshot in time. On page 4 of that
3798
      report, at the bottom where he was talking about evolving
3799
      requirements, multiple definitions of success, et cetera.
3800
          Mr. {Providakes.} Um-hum.
3801
          Ms. {DeGette.} The part he forgot to mention, which was
      the part also I noticed they forgot to mention when the
3802
3803
     previous witness was up, is the part that is in the box in
3804
     bold type at the bottom of all of those current situation
3805
     bullets, which says, CMS has been working to mitigate
3806
     challenges resulting from program characteristics. Do you
3807
     see that?
3808
          Mr. {Providakes.} I do see it.
3809
           Ms. {DeGette.} What does that mean to you?
          Mr. {Providakes.} Well, it means to me that they
3810
3811
      recognize the risks and the challenges of the program, and
3812
      they were looking at options or mitigation approaches that
```

- 3813 would minimize the risks.
- 3814 Ms. {DeGette.} So CMS hired McKinsey to do an
- 3815 evaluation of the program and come up with some concerns that
- 3816 they could then work to mitigate. Is that right?
- 3817 Mr. {Providakes.} Only what I--yes.
- 3818 Ms. {DeGette.} And that is the same reason they hired
- 3819 your company to do security assessments, is to find places
- 3820 where there might be problems, and to make recommendations
- 3821 that they could then work to mitigate. Is that right?
- 3822 Mr. {Providakes.} That is correct. Identify risks,
- 3823 mitigate risks.
- 3824 Ms. {DeGette.} And in your view, at least the
- 3825 recommendations your company made, did they, in fact, work to
- 3826 mitigate those risks?
- 3827 Mr. {Providakes.} In the context of the SCA, yes.
- 3828 Ms. {DeGette.} Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. I
- 3829 have no further questions.
- 3830 Mr. {Murphy.} Okay, had you seen this document before
- 3831 today, Mr. Providakes?
- 3832 Mr. {Providakes.} I am familiar of the document. It
- 3833 has been a while.
- 3834 Mr. {Murphy.} But--so you are familiar. So when they
- 3835 say they have been working to mitigate challenges, you are

```
personally aware that there--some of these mitigations were
3836
3837
      taking place, or you are just saying so today?
3838
           Mr. {Providakes.} No, I had no idea of what mitigation-
3839
      -whether they took the recommendations of this or not--
3840
           Mr. {Murphy.} I was curious because you were drawing a
3841
      conclusion, but I didn't know if you had -- so that is based
3842
     upon--
3843
           Mr. {Providakes.} Based upon--
3844
           Mr. {Murphy.} --just a guest today, okay.
3845
           Mr. {Providakes.} Exactly, yes.
3846
           Mr. {Murphy.} Quick thing. Mr. Amsler, while
      developing the security measures for the cloud environment,
3847
3848
     have you encountered any challenges at all?
3849
           Mr. {Amsler.} Certainly lots of challenges along the
3850
     way. Congressman, did you mean more implementing them or
3851
     certain things?
3852
           Mr. {Murphy.} Some things that are different from what
3853
     you are used to here, or anything standing out to you that is
3854
     a concern with regard to the cloud environment or the
3855
      security there?
3856
           Mr. {Amsler.} Well, the cloud in and of itself brings a
3857
     unique set of challenges that any--us in the industry are all
```

3858

trying to deal with. It--

```
3859
          Mr. {Murphy.} That is a system that you can't
3860
     necessarily correct right now with a cloud environment.
3861
      is--on its own, it is a secure concern.
3862
          Mr. {Amsler.} Agreed. It is our biggest--one of our
3863
     biggest challenges that we are facing as an industry today,
3864
      that being the cyber security industry.
3865
          Mr. {Murphy.} Who is in charge of that cloud
3866
      environment?
3867
          Mr. {Amsler.} Verizon Terremark is, and I assume you
3868
     mean actually owns it--
3869
          Mr. {Murphy.} Yes.
3870
          Mr. {Amsler.} --and controls it.
3871
          Mr. {Murphy.} And how difficult is it to develop these
3872
      security measures while the system is being built?
3873
          Mr. {Amsler.} That would not be ideal.
3874
          Mr. {Murphy.} Do you have all the tools and
     capabilities now to successfully and fully monitor this
3875
3876
     system?
3877
           Mr. {Amsler.} I am a unique animal in that I live, eat
      and breathe cyber security, and as a company, we do--
3878
3879
          Mr. {Murphy.} I understand.
3880
           Mr. {Amsler.} --so we always strive for better. I am
3881
      always striving to make it the best that I can.
```

```
3882
          Mr. {Murphy.} Do you have all the tools now you need to
3883
      fully monitor the system?
3884
           Mr. {Amsler.} We have a set of controls that exceed any
      standard set of controls--
3885
3886
          Mr. {Murphy.} I understand you are trying to do a great
3887
      job. I appreciate that. I am just trying to get a sense of
3888
     have you been limited in any way in your ability to do all
3889
     the things you would like to do with your excellent team in
3890
     place?
3891
          Mr. {Amsler.} There are some things that we have asked
3892
      for that are not in place as of yet.
3893
          Mr. {Murphy.} Tell me, such as what?
3894
          Mr. {Amsler.} These were--they are very technical in
3895
     nature. Again, we have a standard set of controls--
3896
          Mr. {Murphy.} Sure.
3897
          Mr. {Amsler.} --or we are shooting for more.
          Ms. {DeGette.} Mr. Chairman, we might want to have him
3898
3899
      give us that information --
3900
          Mr. {Murphy.} Yeah, could you let us know that?
3901
           Ms. {DeGette.} --and provide it.
3902
          Mr. {Amsler.} I would be happy to.
3903
          Mr. {Murphy.} Or is that something you would like to do
      in private instead of public? Would that be better?
3904
```

```
3905
          Mr. {Amsler.} I would be happy to get with my team and
     get with the--
3906
3907
          Mr. {Murphy.} I appreciate that. Ms. Bauer, do you
     have all the tools necessary to fully--
3908
3909
           Ms. {Bauer.} Well, our answers are essentially the same
3910
     because we are an integrated team.
3911
          Mr. {Murphy.} I see.
3912
          Ms. {Bauer.} I would agree with Dave.
3913
          Mr. {Murphy.} All right. And, Mr. Providakes, do you
3914
     have all the tools necessary to fully do your work here?
3915
          Mr. {Providakes.} Well, we are in a slightly different
3916
      role, but, yes.
3917
          Mr. {Murphy.} I see. So let me ask this then, with
3918
      regard to how things are are. Is the system--have there been
3919
     any attempts under what you have monitored, Ms. Bauer and Mr.
3920
     Amsler, any attempts to hack into the system that you can
3921
     tell?
3922
          Mr. {Amsler.} Congressman, the simple answer is yes.
3923
      The longer answer is I don't have an environment where it is
3924
     not being attacked today though.
          Mr. {Murphy.} I understand. So with regard to this
3925
3926
      then, is that -- is the system now -- are you saying that it is
3927
      fully secure from external hackers trying to get in?
```

```
3928
          Mr. {Amsler.} I--you know, I am never--we live in a
3929
     world of not if but more when.
3930
          Mr. {Murphy.} Um-hum.
3931
          Mr. {Amsler.} That is the nature of the world we live
3932
      in today. So I can never give you a guarantee that someone
3933
      is not going to get in. It is probably going to happen at
3934
      some point, but we have designed it to limit the damage and
3935
      identify it as quick as possible.
3936
          Mr. {Murphy.} So we can't at this point sign off and
3937
      say the system is fully secure. It is an ongoing process,
3938
     you are saying?
3939
          Mr. {Amsler.} It is an always ongoing process. Today I
      feel comfortable with the capabilities we have put in place,
3940
3941
     but I am always striving for more.
3942
          Mr. {Murphy.} I understand. And, Ms. Bauer, would you
3943
     agree with that assessment?
3944
          Ms. {Bauer.} I would. I would--Dave is answering it
3945
      from a very--
3946
          Mr. {Murphy.} You have to talk into the microphone, I
3947
     can't hear you.
3948
          Ms. {Bauer.} --very technical perspective, but I would
3949
      say that from our perspective with regard to the tools and
```

appliances we have in place, right now today, the system is

3950

3951 secure. As Dave says, security is always evolving, it is 3952 always dynamic and ongoing, and we are always going to want 3953 to do better and keep on top of the latest technology, the 3954 latest appliances, so we will always be maturing. But as 3955 regards the scope of our contract and the appliances and 3956 tools and processes we have in place, we are confident--3957 Mr. {Murphy.} I mean I appreciate your standards of 3958 excellence, and I appreciate you understand this is an 3959 evolving process, but given the concerns for security, I am--3960 what I am hearing from you is nobody can really give 100 3961 percent quarantee that this Web site is secure with regard to 3962 the data that has in it, the personally-identifiable information as people put those things in there. No one can 3963 3964 quarantee that some hacker isn't going to try and get into 3965 it, and that they have--they will continue to try and probe 3966 until they get through. Is that what you are saying? 3967 Mr. {Amsler.} But I also would say the same thing about 3968 Facebook or any banking Web site as well. 3969 Mr. {Murphy.} Sure. 3970 Mr. {Amsler.} It is just unfortunately the world we 3971 live in today. 3972 Mr. {Murphy.} I appreciate that. Same with you, Ms.

3973

Bauer?

```
3974
          Ms. {Bauer.} Yeah, and I think that the critical factor
3975
     is the rigor with which we have procedures in place to
3976
     identify any risks, any vulnerabilities, and then work to
3977
     mitigate them. And we have very robust procedures in place
3978
     for that.
3979
          Mr. {Murphy.} Very good. Well, I appreciate the
3980
     comments from the panel today, and I ask unanimous consent
3981
     that the written opening statements of other members be
3982
     introduced into the record if they so will, and without
3983
     objection, those documents will be in the record.
3984
          [The information follows:]
3985
     ******* COMMITTEE INSERT ********
```

```
3986
          Mr. {Murphy.} I also ask unanimous consent that the
3987
     contents of the document binder be introduced into the record
3988
     to authorize staff to make appropriate redactions. And
3989
     without objection, the documents will be entered into the
3990
     record with any redactions that staff determines are
3991
     appropriate.
3992
          [The information follows:]
     ******** COMMITTEE INSERT *********
3993
```

```
3994
          Mr. {Murphy.} So in conclusion, I would like to thank
3995
     all the witnesses and members that participated in today's
3996
     hearing. I remind members they have 10 business days to
3997
      submit questions for the record, and I ask that the witnesses
3998
     all please agree to answer promptly to the questions, and we
3999
     will work out some mechanism to answer some of them in
4000
     confidential, in-camera discussions.
4001
           And with that, this hearing is concluded.
4002
           [Whereupon, at 1:30 p.m., the subcommittee was
4003
     adjourned.]
```